

THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Tuesday, 15th December 1953.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Government Estate, Mount Road, at eleven of the clock, Mr. Speaker (THE HON. SRI J. SIVASHANMUGAM PILLAI) in the Chair.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

Opening of fire on agriculturists in Chidambaram taluk.

* 31 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state whether there was any opening of fire on agriculturists in Chidambaram taluk during the month of August 1953?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI:—“ No, Sir; there was no instance of opening of fire by the Police on the agriculturists or anyone else in Chidambaram taluk in the month of August 1953.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI:—“ சிதம்பரம் தாலுகாவில் ஒரு கிராமத்தில் விவசாயிகளின் மீது துப்பாக்கிப் பிரயோகம் நடந்தது என்ற விஷயத்தை இச்சபையிலுள்ள ஒரு அங்கத்தினர் அந்த ஜில்லா கலைக்டருக்கு ரிப்போர்ட் செய்தாரே ; அந்த ரிப்போர்ட்டை அரசாங்கத்தார் பரிசீலனை செய்தார்களா ? பரிசீலனை செய்தார்கள் என்றால் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டது ? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI:—“ I have already answered as far as my information goes.”

SRI K. VINAYAKAM:—“ Is the Hon. the Chief Minister aware of the fact that a report did appear in the press that there was firing during this month in Chidambaram taluk? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI:—“ I am not responsible for the press report. If it did appear it is not correct.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI:—“ கனம் முதல் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் என்னுடைய கேள்விக்குப் பதில் அளிக்கும்போது அது மாதிரியாக நடந்தது ஒன்றும் தனக்குத் தெரியாது என்று சொல்லுகிறார். சிதம்பரம் தாலுக்காவிலுள்ள புவனகிரி என்ற கிராமத்தில் விவசாயிகளின் மீது துப்பாக்கிப் பிரயோகம் நடந்தது என்கின்ற விஷயத்தை இந்தச் சட்டசபையிலுள்ள ஒரு அங்கத்தினர் அந்த ஜில்லா கலைக்டருக்கு ரிப்போர்ட் செய்துள்ளார். அந்த ரிப்போர்ட் சமாசாரம் கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களுக்குத் தெரியாதா ? தெரிந்திருந்தால் அந்த ரிப்போர்ட் மீது ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டதா ? விசாரணை நடந்ததா ? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI:—“ No particular Member sent me any report, letter or communication.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI:—“ Did any particular Member of this House make a report to the District Collector? ”

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ I have already answered that I am not aware of any such report nor was any such thing mentioned to me. If there had been any such thing, I would like the hon. Member to mention it to me and I will enquire into it.”

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“ From the answers given by the Hon. the Chief Minister, are we to conclude that the hon. Member belonging to the Toilers' Party has put a false question? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The hon. Member should not assume it as a false question.”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ I did not insinuate anything, Sir. What I have said is that so far as the Government are concerned the question is whether there was no opening of fire by the Police on the agriculturists and as far as I have been able to gather from official quarters there was no opening of fire by the Police on the agriculturists or anyone else in Chidambaram in the month of August 1953. Any other report must be either false or should be enquired into if it is brought to my notice.”

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—“ சுத்துக்குழி என்ற கிராமத்தில் விவசாயிகளின் மீது துப்பாக்கிப் பிரயோகம் நடந்தது. சுடப்பட்ட குண்டுகளையும் எடுத்துக்கொண்டு போய் நேரில் ஜில்லா கலைக்டரிடம் ரிப்போர்ட் செய்திருக்கிறார்கள். அதன்மீது ஜில்லா கலைக்டர் விசாரணையும் நடத்தியிருக்கிறார். அப்படியிருக்கையில் அம்மாதிரி எதுவும் நடக்கவில்லை என்று சொல்வது சரியா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ I think there is a mistake covering all the questions that have been attempted to be put. If anybody fired without authority it is a different matter. But here there was no Police firing at all.”

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“ When a press report of that type likely to inflame public opinion is published do not the Government take the trouble to check it up, verify and issue a contradictory report to allay public opinion? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ My memory which cannot be relied upon very much is that there was some kind of report and I did enquire and got this answer.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ போலீஸ்காரர்கள் அதிகாரத்திற்கு உட்பட்டு துப்பாக்கிப் பிரயோகம் செய்தார்களா, இல்லையா என்பது அல்ல இப்பொழுது பேச்சு. போலீஸ்காரர்கள் அவர்கள் அதிகாரத்திற்கு உட்பட்டோ, அல்லது அதிகாரத்தை மீறியோ அங்கே துப்பாக்கிப் பிரயோகம் செய்திருக்கிறார்கள் என்பது கனம் முதல் அமைச்சருக்குத் தெரியுமா? அது சம்பந்தமாக ஏதாவது விசாரணை நடந்ததா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ I have already said that if anyone has complained that any unauthorized firing did take place and it should be enquired into, it should be brought to my notice.”

15th December 1953]

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN :—“ Now that the opening of fire has been denied by the Government, may I know what took place in Chidambaram taluk? Has there been any trouble? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ There was some kind of agrarian discontent and a certain amount of conciliation was attempted. Then the Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Act was extended to Chidambaram taluk. I am not aware of any other trouble.”

Discovery of dead bodies in Feroke Police Station limits.

* 32 Q.—**SRI K. P. GOPALAN** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state how many dead bodies under suspicious circumstances have been found in the Feroke Police Station limits, South Malabar, during the last three years and out of these in how many cases the culprits were detected and in how many undetected?

11-10
a.m.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Three dead bodies were found under suspicious circumstances in Feroke Police Station limits, Malabar district, during 1950, none during 1951 and two during 1952. No foulplay was suspected in connexion with the discovery of any of these five dead bodies, and consequently there was no question of detecting culprits.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ ഫറോക്കിൽ ഉണ്ടായിരുന്ന പോലീസ് ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാർ ഇത്തരം കാര്യങ്ങളിൽ കുറവൊളികളെന്ന് സംശയിക്കുന്ന ആളുകളുടെ പേരിൽ ശരിയായ നടപടികൾ എടുത്തിട്ടില്ല എന്നും, അതുപോലെതന്നെ ഫറോക്കിലുള്ള പോലീസ് ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാർ, അവിടെയുള്ള ചില ജനികളുടെ അപൂർവ്വങ്ങൾക്കു അതേ നീന്തുകൊണ്ടാണ് ഇത്തരം സംഭവങ്ങൾ ഉണ്ടാകുന്നതെന്നും ഫറോക്കിലെ നാട്ടുകാർ ഗവൺമെന്റിനു വല്ല മെമ്മോറാണ്ടവും അയച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ? അങ്ങിനെ വല്ല മെമ്മോറാണ്ടവും അയച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അതിനു വല്ല നടപടികളും എടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ? ”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ பெரோக் போலீஸ் ஸ்டேஷன் அருகிலேயே இம்மாதிரியாக சம்சயப்படக்கூடிய கொலைகள் நடந்திருந்தும் கூட, அதன் துப்பைக் கண்டுபிடிக்க எந்தவிதமான நடவடிக்கையும் எடுக்காமல் இருப்பது தெரியுமா? இது முதல் கேள்வி.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ No, Sir. The Police did not take any action, because the bodies could not be identified and there was no foulplay suspected. Therefore, no action was taken against anybody. We cannot go about arresting anybody on mere suspicion without any evidence whatsoever.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ Sir, I am translating the second part of the question—

“ அங்கு இருக்கக்கூடிய ஜென்மிமார்களின் நலன்களை க்கருதியே இம் மாதிரியான கொலைகள் பல நடைபெற்று வருகின்றனவென்பதைப் பற்றி புகார்கள் செய்து சர்க்காருக்கு பலர் மகஜர்கள் அனுப்பியிருக்கிறார்களே. அது சம்பந்தமாக ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்பட்டதா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I am not aware of mahazars, Sir. I am sorry the hon. Member is making that insinuation here.”

[15th December 1953]

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ அங்கு செத்துக்கிடந்தவர்களின் உடலைப் பரிசோதித்து, எந்தவிதமாக அவர்கள் செத்தார்கள் என்பதையாவது கண்டுபிடிக்க சர்க்கார் ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுத்ததா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Yes, Sir; the bodies were found in a very advanced state of decomposition and therefore it could not be found what the reasons for the various deaths were. And there was no report from round about the place that anybody was missing. So, the surmise has been that death must have taken place in some other place and the bodies had been washed ashore at Feroke.”

11-10 a.m. DR. K. B. MENON :—“ Sir, of the murder cases that happened in Feroke, one was charge-sheeted, and the Government are aware that it resulted in acquittal. Will the Government please go through the judgment of the case and find out whether there is any relationship between the medical certificate and the acquittal? Cases of acquittals in Malabar have been numerous.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ The hon. Member's question does not relate to the particular question under discussion. If he gives any information, I shall certainly look into it.”

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—“ May I know, Sir, whether the Government have taken extra precautionary measures in and around Feroke recently and if so, for what reasons? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ The hon. Member may put a separate question.”

SRI K. M. SEETHI :—“ May I know whether the Government have received representations from the Members of this House representing that area that there is laxity on the part of the Police and that there have been murders which have not been detected, even though the victims and their names and addresses were known to the Police? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ Sir, I remember to have instructed the Police to take special steps without handing this over to questions in this House that innocent people are being harassed.”

SRI A. APPU :—“ May I know, Sir, whether the Inspector-General of Police visited Feroke in November last and made personal enquiries and whether after he left the station, half-a-dozen people were kept in Police custody and if so, what were the reasons for arresting them? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The hon. Member may put a separate question.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ സേക്ട, ഫറോക്ക് പോലീസ് സ്റ്റേഷൻ അതൃത്തിയിൽ വലപ്രാവശ്യം അനവധി ശവങ്ങൾ കണ്ടതിനെ തുടർന്നു ആ വ്യവസായ കേന്ദ്രത്തിൽ (Industrial Centre) വല പ്രദേശങ്ങളിൽനിന്നു വരുന്ന യാത്രക്കാർ ഭയന്നു വരാതെ ഇരിക്കുകയും അങ്ങിനെ വ്യവസായത്തിന്നു ഒരു വലിയ സ്പ്രംഗം നേരിടുകയും ചെയ്യിക്കുണ്ടെന്നു ഞാൻ ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തുകയും ചെയ്തതുകൊണ്ടു സകല കക്ഷികളിലും വെട്ടു

15th December 1953]

മെമ്പർമാർ അടങ്ങിയ ഒരു നിരവേനസംഘം, മലബാർ കലക്ട്രേറ്റ് ഒരു നിരവേനം സമുദായങ്ങളായതിനെ തുടർന്നു അന്വേഷണത്തിന്റെ വെളിച്ചത്തിൽ ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട മന്ത്രി വല്ലതും ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ എന്ന് പറയാമോ ? ”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ പരോക് പോലീസ് സ്റ്റേഷൻ എല്ലായിലും ഇப்படிப்பட்ட കൊലകൾ அதிகமாக നടந்து வருகின்றതാണ് കാരണமாக അங்கേ നടந்து കൊണ്ടിരിക്കുന്ന വർത്തകൾ ഇതുമ്പിട്ടിരിക്കുന്നു. വെളിയൂർ വിധാപാരികൾ അங்கേ വര പദ്ധതികൾ. அதன் காரணமாக விധாபாரமும், தொழிலும் அந்தப் பாகத்தில் பாதிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது என்பதை பல கட்சிகளும், அவர்களைச் சார்ந்தவர்களும் சர்க்காருக்கு மகஜர்கள் மூலம் அறிவித்திருக்கிறார்களே. அது சம்பந்தமாக விசாரணை நடந்ததும் சர்க்காருக்குத் தெரியுமா? அப்படியானால் இம்மாதிரி கொலைகள் நடக்காமல் இருக்க சர்க்கார் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப் போகிறார்கள்? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ Sir, we have generally taken all steps that can be taken within the law to meet a situation of this kind where no particular person could be proceeded against, and I must say that the situation is now considerably improved.”

SRI C. H. KANARAN :—“ പോലീസ് അധികാരികളും കൊലചെയ്യുന്നവരും തമ്മിൽ ചില രഹസ്യബന്ധങ്ങൾ ഉണ്ടാകുന്നതുകൊണ്ടാണ് ഇത്ര അധികം കൊലകൾ നടക്കുന്നതെന്ന് മനസ്സിലാക്കി അതു ഇല്ലായ്മചെയ്യുവാൻ ഗവണ്മെന്റ് വല്ല നടപടികളും എടുക്കുമോ ? ”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ പോലീസ്കാർമാർക്കുതന്നെ, ഇപ്പடிப்பட்ட കൊലകളിൽ ഞെട്ടിപ്പോകുന്നവർക്കുതന്നെ നേരുമ്പോൾ തൊടാൻ ഇരുന്ന കാരണത്തിനാൽ തான் സീയാന മൂലമായിട്ടുള്ളതായിട്ടുണ്ട്. മേൽ നടവடிக்கേ എറുപതിലധികം എണ്ണ പുക്കർ ഇരുന്നതുകൊണ്ടു വരുന്നതാണ് என்பது സர்க்கാറുக்குத் தெரியுமா? தெரிந்தால் അந்தப் പുക്കரைப் പോക്കു സர்க்கார் എன்ன നടവடிக்கേ എடுத்தിരിക്കുന്നു? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ The question is full of insinuation and libels.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Sir, I may be permitted to give some facts. There seems to be a misapprehension that there were murders and in spite of it, no action has been taken. I respectfully submit that there was no case of murder. Only five dead bodies were found during these three years under suspicious circumstances and they were found washed ashore. There was absolutely no evidence to show that there was foulplay involved in any of these cases. One was the case of a still-born child and that also is included as one. Therefore, there is absolutely no reason to infer any case of murder in any of these five cases.”

Stoppage of the holding of periodical Press Conferences by Heads of Departments.

* 33 Q.—**SRI K. M. SEETHI** (on behalf of Sri Kunhi Mohamed Shafee) : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state whether the Government had issued any instructions last year to the Collector of Malabar and to the District Superintendent of Police, Malabar, asking them to discontinue the practice of holding their periodical Press Conferences; and if so, the reasons for issuing such instructions?

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ In order to ensure a uniform procedure and to prevent any embarrassment to Government by premature statements about the policies of Government, orders were issued in August 1952 that all information to the Press about such matters should be given through the Press conferences at Madras. District Collectors and Heads of Departments are free to give local correspondents information which is of a purely factual and non-confidential nature.”

Selection of a Community Project Centre in South Arcot district.

* 34 Q.—**SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state whether any area is selected in South Arcot district for development as a Community Project Centre?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Yes, Sir.

A Community Development Block has been set up in Chidambaram taluk of the South Arcot district.”

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—“ மிகவும் பின்தங்கியும், முன்னேற்றம் அடையாமலும் இருக்கிற செஞ்சி, திண்டிவனம் போன்ற தாலுக்காக்களில் இந்த சமுதாய அபிவிருத்தித் திட்டங்களை ஏன் விஸ்தரிக்கக் கூடாது ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Sir, according to the directions of the Government of India, Community Projects are started only in areas where additional irrigation facilities or assured rainfall is available, so that the additional effort would produce the most substantial results in the matter of stepping up of agricultural production. It is only such areas that have been selected and Chidambaram taluk is one such area and it has therefore been selected for the project work.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ சிதம்பரம் தாலுக்காவில் சமுதாய அபிவிருத்தித் திட்டங்களை அமுலுக்குக் கொண்டுவந்தபின் என்னென்ன காரியங்கள் அங்கே செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன ? இன்னும் என்னென்ன காரியங்கள் செய்ய உத்தேசிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன என்பதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொல்வார்களா ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I think the hon. Member who has been in this House for some time is aware of the Community Project work that has been going on in four other areas in this State, rather, more than myself (laughter). The Community Project work in Chidambaram taluk has been started only on 2nd October; preliminary survey is being made and a programme is being drafted.”

SRI K. S. ARDHANARESWARA GOUNDER :—“ எந்த அடிப்படையை வைத்துக்கொண்டு சர்க்கார் சமுதாய அபிவிருத்தித் திட்டங்களுக்கான பிரதேசங்களை தேர்ந்தெடுக்கிறார்கள் என்பதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் தெரிவிக்கவேண்டுமென்று நான்கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.”

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Sir, I have already answered that only such areas are selected as have assured rainfall or where there are good irrigation facilities.”

SRI K. R. SAMBANDAM :—“ சமுதாய அபிவிருத்தித் திட்டங்களைப் பற்றி கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொன்னார்கள். தெருக்களைக் கூட்டுவது, சுத்தம் செய்வது போன்றவைதான் அதன் வேலைகளா ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Sir, I would invite the hon. Member to visit some of the Community Project areas which are being worked for the last one year and then he will be satisfied that it is not merely sweeping the streets that is being done under the Community Project Programme.”

SRI K. R. NALLASIVAM :—“ சர்க்காரால் ஆரம்பத்தில் எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட பல சமுதாய அபிவிருத்தி திட்டப் பிரதேசங்களிலேயே ஒரு வேலையும் ஆரம்பிக்கப்படாமலிருக்கும்போது, புதிதாக ஆரம்பிக்கப்படும் இடங்களில் வேலைகள் நல்ல முறையில் நடக்குமென்று சர்க்கார் எதிர்பார்க்கிறதா ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Perhaps, sufficient work has not been done in the areas that have already been selected during the last year, but we do hope that we shall be able to secure better results not only in the new areas but also in the old areas.”

SRI Y. MANJAYA SHETTY :—“ May I know, Sir, whether the Community Project centres are selected on a regional basis. and if so, may I know why new centres are not selected in South Kanara and Malabar districts this year and why new centres are selected only in Tamilnad ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I would put it to the hon. Member to take the areas that were selected last year and take also the areas selected this year and then he would feel satisfied that so far as South Kanara district is concerned, it has had its due share.”

SRI P. G. KARUTHIRUMAN :—“ கம்யூனிடி ப்ராஜெக்ட் என்பதை நமது நண்பர்கள் ‘ கம்யூனிஸ்ட் ப்ராஜெக்ட் ’ என்று பிரசாரம் செய்வார்களா கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களுக்குத் தெரியுமா ? ” (சிரிப்பு.)

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I am not aware of it, Sir.”

Book-grants to Harijan students studying in Corporation schools.

* 35 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state— 11-20 a.m.

(a) whether the Director of Harijan Welfare was sanctioning book-grants to the Harijan students studying in the Corporation schools in Madras City three or four years back; and

(b) if so, when it was stopped and why?

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ (a) Yes.

[15th December 1953]

“(b) The concession was discontinued from 1950-51 as it was found that the Corporation was supplying books, slates, etc. to the Harijans studying in the schools under its control free of cost.”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ ஹரிஜன மானவர்களுக்கு புத்தகம் வாங்குவதற்கான ‘கிரான்ட்’ ஆக எவ்வளவு பணம் சென்னை அரசாங்கத்தார் வழங்கியிருக்கிறது? சென்னை நகராண்மைக் கழகத்தின் கீழுள்ள பள்ளிக் கூடங்களில் படிக்கும் ஹரிஜன, பிற்பட்ட மானவர்களுக்கு இனாமாக புத்தகங்கள் வழங்கப்படுகின்றனவா? அப்படி வழங்கப்பட்டால், ஒவ்வொரு மாணவனுக்கும் வருஷத்திற்கு எவ்வளவு பணம் செலவிடப்படுகிறது என்று கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் அறிவிப்பாரா? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ இது கார்ப்பரேஷனிலே கேட்கப்படவேண்டிய கேள்வி; கார்ப்பரேஷனில் கேட்கவேண்டிய கேள்வியைக் கார்ப்பரேஷனில் கேட்கவேண்டும்; இங்கு கேட்கவேண்டிய கேள்வியை இங்கு கேட்கவேண்டும்.”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ கார்ப்பரேஷனில் புத்தகங்கள் இனாமாக வழங்கப்பட்டு வந்தன. ஆனால், அரசாங்கத்தார் இரண்டு வருஷங்களாக கார்ப்பரேஷனுக்கு இதற்காக அளித்து வந்த உதவியை நிறுத்தியிருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகையினால்தான், இந்தக் கேள்வி இங்கே கேட்கப்படுகிறது. இதற்கு அரசாங்கம் அவசியம் பதிலளித்தாகவேண்டும்.”

Strength of I.C.S. Officers in the State.

* 36 Q.—**SRI K. ARANGANATHAN** : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state the number of I.C.S. Officers in the residuary Madras State?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ There are 40 I.C.S. officers attached to Madras State excluding five Judges of the High Court who were originally recruited to the I.C.S.”

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—“ இவர்களுக்காக மொத்தத்தில் ஒதுக்கப்பட்ட சம்பளம் எவ்வளவு? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ I think I will require fresh notice for giving a correct answer.”

Non-payment of allowances for election duties to certain teachers in North Malabar.

* 37 Q.—**SRI K. P. GOPALAN** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state, with reference to the answer to question No. 152 given on 25th November 1952, whether it is a fact that the teachers of Eripuram Chengal Elementary School and Cheruthazham Elementary School of Pazhayangadi, North Malabar, did not get their allowance for attending to duties in connection with the last general elections; and if so, the reasons for the same?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Five teachers of Eripuram Chengal Elementary School have not been paid their travelling allowance, since no claims have so far been received from them. Three teachers of Cheruthazham Elementary School also have not been paid their travelling

15th December 1953]

allowance, since two of them have not so far preferred their claims, while the third is stated to have put in his claim to the District Educational Officer, Tellicherry. However, the Tahsildar of Chirakkal Taluk is taking necessary action to obtain copies of the claims and to settle them expeditiously."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—"സർ, ഈ പ്രശ്നം സംബന്ധിച്ചു വല തവണ അസംബ്ലിയിൽ ചർച്ച ചെയ്യുകയുണ്ടായിട്ടുണ്ട്. വല ഹർജികൾ കൊടുത്തിട്ടും ഇനിയും റദ്ദ്യണറേഷൻ ലഭിക്കാത്ത വല കീച്ചർമാരും ഉണ്ടെന്നുള്ളതു ഒരു വല രമാന്തം മാത്രമാണ്. ചീറക്കൽ താലൂക്കിൽ പാട്ടയം എലിമെന്ററി സ്കൂളിലെ രോഹിണി കീച്ചരും, കെ. വി. കുമാരൻ മാസ്റ്ററും ഈ പ്രശ്നത്തിന് എടുത്തതന്നെ വലതവണ ഹർജികൾ അയച്ചിട്ടും ഇതുവരെ അവർക്കു റദ്ദ്യണറേഷൻ കൊടുത്തിട്ടില്ല. ഈ സംഗതികളെപ്പറ്റി ഗവണ്മെണ്ടു അന്വേഷണം നടത്തി വേണ്ടപ്പെട്ട നടപടികൾ എടുക്കുമോ ? "

MR. SPEAKER :—"Let not the hon. Member make a speech."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—"This is not a speech, Sir."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—"Almost a speech. I am aware, Sir, there are a few more cases pending payment. But, comparatively speaking, we have cleared almost all the arrears. In respect of cases which are pending payment, there are certain irregularities. Government moneys cannot be distributed simply because questions are asked in this House. We have to scrutinize the claims. Only if the claims are satisfactorily proved, payments can be made. That is why in spite of questions, in spite of my assurance given to the House and in spite of the various circulars we have issued on the subject, certain claims are still pending and unless the irregularities are rectified, payments cannot be made under the rules prevailing now."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—"தேர்தல் சம்பந்தமான வேலைக்கு ஒரு சிலரை வைத்துக்கொண்டுவிட்ட பிறகு, வேலைக்கு யார் யாரை வைத்துக் கொண்டிருக்கிறோம் என்ற விவரங்களைக் கண்டுபிடிப்பதற்கும், அதில் இருக்கக்கூடிய தகராறுகளைத் தீர்ப்பதற்கும் சர்க்காருக்கு இரண்டு வருஷ காலமா பிடிக்கவேண்டும் ? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—"I know, Sir, two years is a pretty long period. But in spite of this long period proper claims have not been made. It is not as if we are distributing money with reference to a list which we are maintaining. Proper claims have to be made and then only moneys can be paid. Unfortunately, there have been some mistakes in preferring those claims and some persons have not preferred any claims at all. In such cases only, moneys have not been paid."

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—"സർ, ഈ സംബന്ധമായി ചില പ്രത്യേക വ്യക്തികളുടെ വിവരങ്ങൾ ഞാൻ നേരിട്ടു മിനിസ്റ്റർക്ക് അയച്ചുതന്നതിനേൽ എന്തു നടപടികൾ എടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടു എന്ന് അറിഞ്ഞാൽ കൊള്ളാം. "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—"If I had received any communication from the hon. Member I would have immediately replied to it. I would also have sent it to the

[15th December 1953]

Department concerned for taking proper action. Apart from that, rules have got to be observed and unless the rules are satisfied, payments cannot be made from Government treasuries."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—"ஹு സംബന്ധമായ ഫോർമലി റീസു എല്ലാം observe ചെയ്യ റിട്ടേണിങ്ങ് അഫീസർമാരുടെ സാക്ഷാത്കാരം, ഈ വേല ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട് എന്ന സാക്ഷ്യപത്രം ഹാജരാക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്ന ശേഷവും ചിലർ റെഗുലേഷൻ കൊടുത്തിട്ടില്ല എന്ന ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട മന്ത്രി അറിയുമോ? ഇല്ലെങ്കിൽ ഇതു അറിയണം."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—"I do not think that any case is still pending where a regular and proper claim has been made and all the records have been filed before the Returning Officer. If any case is brought to my notice, I shall certainly look into it, and if there has been unnecessary delay, I will take action against the officers who are responsible for the delay."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—"வேலை செய்தவனுக்கு உடனடியாக அந்த வேலைக்கு கிடைக்கவேண்டிய ஊதியம் கிடைக்கச் செய்யும்படியாக சட்டத்தை அரசாங்கம் மாற்றுவார்களா? வேலை செய்தவர்கள் இப்படி 'claim' போட்டுக்கொண்டிருப்பது, அது சரிதானு இல்லையா என்று சர்க்கார் விசாரணை செய்வது என்று இப்படியாகத் தாமதம் செய்துகொண்டிருக்காமல், வேலை செய்த நபர்களுக்குச் சேரவேண்டிய ஊதியம் உடனடியாகக் கிடைக்கும்படியான ஒரு முறையை சர்க்கார் அனுஷ்டிப்பார்களா?"

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—"This is not a full-time job. Once in a way elections take place. We have to safeguard Government moneys from being misused and we have to see that questions are not put here that Government money has been paid to persons who are not entitled to it. That is why we provide sufficient safeguards to see that persons who are entitled to be paid should be paid."

Appointment of Harijans as District Welfare Officers.

* 38 Q.—**SRI A. RATNAM** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state—

(a) how many members belonging to the Harijan community applied for the post of Harijan Welfare Officers in 1952-53; and

(b) how many of them were employed?

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" (a) &

(b) The personnel for the posts of District Welfare Officers are drawn from the Revenue Establishment from the cadre of Tahsildars and Deputy Collectors. Applications are not called for in these cases and the selection is made with reference to merit, ability aptitude for the work and other considerations."

SRI A. RATNAM :—"ஹரிஜன முன்னேற்ற அலுவலகங்களில் வேலை செய்யும் ஹரிஜனத் தோழர்கள் பலர் பட்டதாரிகளாக இருந்தும் கூட, அப்பேர்பட்டவர்களை ஹரிஜன நல அதிகாரிகளாக நியமிக்க இயலாத அரசாங்கம், ஹரிஜனங்களுக்குச் சலுகை தருகிறோம் என்றும் ஹரிஜனங்களை முன்னேற்றம் செய்து கொண்டிருக்கின்றோம் என்றும் சொல்லிக்கொண்டிருப்பது பொருந்துமா என்று கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்களைக் கேட்டுக் கொள்கின்றேன்?"

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ In the first part of my answer, I have clearly stated that selection is made with reference to merit, ability, aptitude for the work and other considerations.”

SRI S. DAIVASIGAMANY :—“ The question is whether in 1952-53 any applications for the post of Harijan Welfare Officer were called for and, if so, any Harijan applied for it? ”

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ From my answer to the main question, it may be understood that the selection of the personnel is made from among officers of the Revenue establishment. Therefore, it goes to show that Government have not called for applications. But yet for the information of the hon. Member, I would say that in 1952 one Harijan was working as District Welfare Officer.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ ஹரிஜன வெல்பேர் ஆபீசர் உத்தியோகத்திற்கு ரெவினியூ இலாகாவில் ஏற்கெனவே உத்தியோகஸ்தர்களாக இருப்பவர்களையன்றி, மற்றவர்களும் நாட்டில் திறமையும் தகுதியும் உள்ளவர்களாக இருப்பார்கள் என்பது கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களுக்குத் தெரியுமா? அப்படியானால், இதற்காக வேண்டியவர்களை ரெவினியூ இலாகாவிலிருந்தும் ஏன் எடுத்துக்கொள்ளவேண்டும்? வெளியில் இருக்கக்கூடியவர்களையும் ஏன் அழைக்கக்கூடாது என்று கேட்கிறேன்? ”

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ This aspect of the question has been considered by Government and the Cabinet has decided that recruitment should be made from the Revenue establishment. It is only a matter of policy.”

SRI P. G. MANICKAM :—“ ரெவினியூ இலாகாவிலிருந்து மட்டும் ஹரிஜன வெல்பேர் ஆபீசர்களை தேர்ந்தெடுப்பதற்குப் பதிலாக தகுதிவாய்ந்த ஹரிஜனங்களை ஏன் நேரடியாக ஹரிஜன முன்னேற்ற அதிகாரியாக நியமிக்கக்கூடாது என்று கேட்கிறேன்? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ ஸ்ரீ பி. ராமமூர்த்தி அவர்கள் கேட்ட கேள்வியைத் தான் நீங்களும் கேட்கிறீர்கள்.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ ரெவினியூ இலாகாவிலிருந்து மட்டும் தான் இந்த உத்தியோகஸ்தர்களை தேர்ந்தெடுக்க வேண்டுமென தீர்மானித்திருப்பது அரசாங்கத்தின் கொள்கைப்பென்று சொன்னார்கள். அதற்கான காரணங்கள் என்ன? வரி வசூல் செய்பவர்கள்தான் ஹரிஜன முன்னேற்ற இலாகாவில் வேலை செய்யத் தகுதியுடையவர்கள் என்பது அரசாங்கத்தின் உடைய கருத்தா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ The question of recruitment is a very large and difficult question. We want discipline, we want obedience, we want honesty and we want public confidence. All things taken together, those who have had some amount of experience in the executive administrative department of Government were considered suitable for these jobs. Harijan Welfare is not a social propaganda work. It is distribution of grants and gifts.”

SRI A. SAMBASIVAM :—“ ஹரிஜன முன்னேற்றத்திற்காக ஒதுக்கப் படும பணம் நல்ல முறையில் செலவழிக்கப்படவில்லையென்று அரசாங்கத்திற்கு ரிப்போர்ட் வந்துகொண்டிருக்கிறதா? அப்படியானால், தேர்ச்சியுள்ள ஹரிஜன ஆசிரியர்களை இம்மாதிரி பதவிகளுக்கு நியமிப்பதுபற்றி அரசாங்கம் ஆலோசிக்குமா? ”

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ We have tried even hostel management in that way and I am sorry to say that we have not got much success out of that arrangement. But the suggestion now made in a general way that Harijans as a community should have an opportunity to serve in this department will be duly considered.”

11-30
a.m.

SRI D. DASARATHAN :—“ ரெவின்யூ இலாகாவிலிருந்து ஜில்லா பொதுநல அதிகாரிகள் பதவிக்கு நியமிக்கப்படுவது என்றால், அந்த அந்தஸ்துக்கு மேற்பட்டும், சில இடங்களில் சம அந்தஸ்தும் உள்ள டெபுடிக்லெக்டர், சப்-கலெக்டர் போன்றவர்கள் நியமிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறார்கள். அதேபோல், ஜில்லா நல அதிகாரி பதவிகளுக்கு தகுதியுள்ள ஹரிஜனங்களை ஏன் நேரிடையாக சர்க்கார் நியமிக்கக்கூடாது ? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ ஸ்ரீ ராமமூர்த்தி அவர்கள் கேட்ட கேள்வியைத் தான் நீங்களும் கேட்கிறீர்கள். ”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ நல்ல தகுதி வாய்ந்த ஹரிஜன வெல்பேர் ஆபீசர்கள் தேவை என்று சொல்லி, அதற்கு தகுதியும் திறமையும் வாய்ந்த ஹரிஜன நண்பர்களை சிபார்சு செய்திருந்தும், அரசாங்கம் ஏன் கவனிக்கவில்லை ? அப்பேர்ப்பட்ட ஹரிஜனங்களை இந்த உத்தியோகத்திற்கு நியமிக்காமல் இருப்பதற்குக் காரணம் கொடுக்கும்படி கேட்டுக்கொள்கிறேன். ”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“ We cannot simply accept recommendations of that kind. We have to think of more things than recommendations.”

Appointment of an Executive Officer for the Kollengode Panchayat Board.

* 39 Q.—**SRI K. P. GOPALAN :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state the special reasons for appointing an Executive Officer for the Kollengode Panchayat Board, South Malabar, when there is already an elected President there?

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ The Kollengode Panchayat with a population of 21,187 and an annual income of about Rs. 20,000 is too big for a non-official president to give his full time and attention for its efficient administration. At the instance of the panchayat itself, the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards recommended that it might be notified for appointment of a whole-time Executive Officer with a view to improve its administration. Government accepted the recommendation and appointed an Executive Officer to the panchayat in August 1953.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ பனியாயன்கூடக் குதரல் அயிகாரம் கொடுக்காளமெனம் திரிணைதரல் ஸ்ரீகூடக் ஜனாயிபத்யு நய்யசாயம் அநாஸரிஷு குதரல் அயிகாரம் கொடுக்காளமெனம் பரணை கோஸ்ரஸு ஒவஜேஷ் ஜனாயிபத்யுமாயி திரிணைதரல் பனியாயன்கூடப் ப்ரஸிடன்ஸி அகாஸாஸாஸா அயிகாரஸாஸா கொடுக்காநை ஐரிகாநு ஜனாயிபத்யு நய்யசாயஸி அயாஜிகாஸாஸா அஹம் மஹி பரணை கொஜாஸா. ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ That is under the consideration of the Government. But that is a different thing and does not arise out of this question.”

15th December 1953]

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“ Did the panchayat make a request for the appointment of an executive officer through a formal resolution? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ The panchayat passed a resolution and the Government accepted it.”

SRI K. C. GOPALAN UNNI :—“ എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് ഓഫീസർമാരെ നിയമിക്കുന്നതിൽ പ്രതിഷേധിച്ച പുതിയ പഞ്ചായത്തു ബോഡ് പാസ്സാക്കിയ പ്രമേയം ഗവണ്മെന്റിനു കിട്ടിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ? പാലക്കാട് പഞ്ചായത്തു യൂനിയൻ അങ്ങിനെ പാസ്സാക്കിയ ഒരു പ്രമേയവും ഗവണ്മെന്റിനു കിട്ടിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ? ഇതിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു പൊതുജനങ്ങളും ഒരു ഹർജി ഗവണ്മെന്റിനുമായി അയച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇതിനെപ്പറ്റിയെല്ലാം ഗവണ്മെന്റ് എന്തു നടപടികളാണ് എടുക്കാൻ പോകുന്നതെന്ന് ഞ്ഞറി പറയുമോ? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ A separate question may be put about Palghat.”

SRI K. C. GOPALAN UNNI :—“ My question is about Kollengode.”

SRI R. KRISHNAN :—“ കുഴിഞ്ഞ കാലത്തെ പഞ്ചായത്തു ബോഡ് പാസ്സാക്കിയതാണ് എക്സിക്യൂട്ടീവ് ഓഫീസർമാർ വേണം എന്ന്. വിജയസാധ്യതയില്ലാത്ത കുഴിഞ്ഞ ബോഡാണ് ഇങ്ങിനെ ഒരു പ്രമേയം പാസ്സാക്കിയത്. പുതിയ ബോഡ് ഇതിനെ നിഷേധിച്ചിരിക്കുന്നു. പുതിയ പഞ്ചായത്തു ബോഡ് പ്രസിഡണ്ട് മുഴുവൻ സമയവും പ്രവൃത്തിയെടുക്കുവാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്നു ലിസ്റ്റ് തരികയാണെങ്കിൽ പഞ്ചായത്തു ഓഫീസറെ പിൻവലിക്കുവാൻ ഗവണ്മെന്റ് തയ്യാറാകുമോ? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ After the panchayat board had passed a resolution, the panchayat was notified for appointment of an executive officer and the officer has been appointed, the matter is closed. Simply because the panchayat board now wants to do away with the executive officer, we cannot agree to it.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ കലൈந்து പോകக்கூடிய പஞ்சായത്തു പോർറു. കാലാവതിയാകക്കൂடிய കാലത്തിൽ, ഏഖ്ലിക്യൂഡിഖ് ഓപീസർ വേണ്ണുമെന്തുറു ഓറു തീർമാനം നിറൈവേற்றി, അന്ത തീർമാനത്തൈ ടർക്കകാർ എற்றுക് കൊണ്ഡിറുക്കുന്ത. അപ്പഡിഷ് ചെയ്വതർകൂப்பതിലാക പുതിതാകത് തേർന്തെറുക്കുപ്പ്പട്ട പஞ்சായത്തു പോർറുൻ അപിപിറായത്തൈ എൻ ടർക്കകാർ കേടുകകൂഡാതു എന്തു കേടുകിന്തേൻ? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ Even before the elections were completed and the new panchayat board was formed, the executive officer had been appointed. The old panchayat board passed the resolution not on the eve of elections but about six months before elections. The Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards recommended the acceptance of the resolution and we appointed the executive officer according to his recommendation.”

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ ഏഖ്ലിക്യൂഡിഖ് ഓപീസർ എന്തത് തേതിയെന്തു നിയമനം ചെയ്യപ്പ്പ്ടാർ? തേർതൽ എന്ത തേതിയിൽ ന്തന്തതു എൻപതൈ കണം മന്തിരി കൊഖ്വാരാ? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ I have got the date of the appointment of the executive officer, but I have not got the date of the election. So a separate question may be put.”

[15th December 1953]

" Self-respect " marriages.

* 40 Q.—SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state the steps taken by the Government to validate the " self-respect " marriages that have recently been declared invalid by the High Court?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—" The Government are actively considering the undertaking of necessary legislation to validate such marriages as have already taken place."

SRI E. L. RAGHAVA MUDALIAR :—" Is the proposed legislation intended for validating only such marriages as have already taken place or will it validate marriages that will take place hereafter also? "

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—" It is not possible to validate marriages that will take place hereafter. Certain marriages which have already taken place were held not valid and we can only rectify that defect."

SRI M. S. MOGRAL :—" What kind of marriages come under the category of self-respect marriages? "

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—" Marriages which do not conform to Hindu customs and manners and other forms of marriages which do not come under any legislative Act."

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" From the fact that the word ' self-respect ' is put in inverted commas by the hon. Member who put the question, we must assume that it has some definite meaning. The meaning that we can give is the popular idea that E. V. Ramaswami Naicker's performances are called self-respect marriages."

SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR :—" Sir, have the Government got any statistics showing the number of marriages to be registered? "

11-40
a.m.

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" No statistics are available for the very reason that they were not registered. But there is a general impression from personal knowledge as well as otherwise that there are a large number of marriages celebrated by Sri E. V. Ramaswami Naicker and his friends, and those marriages came under discussion in a typical case recently, and the High Court decided that they were not legal. That decision would have affected the children in that case and in a large number of other cases. The Government are therefore intending to bring in a Bill before the Assembly to validate such marriages, and legitimize such children in spite of the decision given by the High Court. That involves registration, and hereafter, the law having been declared, there will be no need to deal with any new cases, because the registration will be there, and people will

15th December 1953]

know what to do to get a marriage legitimized even though performed in the way self-respect marriages have been performed. That is the position now."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" From the answer given by the Hon. the Chief Minister we come to the conclusion that self-respect marriages are those conducted by Sri. E. V. Ramaswami Naicker. May I know from the Government whether they will not discriminate against marriages conducted by his followers like Sri C. N. Annadurai, and that the marriages conducted by that group will be validated? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" The question refers to self-respect marriages, and I have explained. So far as legislation goes, that will refer to marriages conducted according to Hindu usage or according to the civil law."

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN :—" May I know, Sir, whether there is any one in the Cabinet who has married under this new system of self-respect marriages? " (Laughter.)

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—" A separate question may be put." (More laughter.)

Telugu language departmental test.

* 41 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state whether the residuary Madras State Government servants have to pass Telugu language departmental test (language) after the separation of the Andhra State?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" The matter is being dealt with. But I may add that it should not be assumed, that because of the Andhra partition, Telugu has altogether disappeared. There are a number of border areas in this as well as in the other State where the other language is being used."

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—" அண்மையில் நடக்கப்போகும் இலாக்கா மொழிப் பரீட்சையிலிருந்து தெலுங்கு மொழிப் பரீட்சையை எடுத்துவிட்டால் என்ன? அதோடு இந்த இலாக்கா தெலுங்கு மொழியை ஆந்திர தேசத்தைச் சுற்றி இருக்கும் ஜில்லாக்களில் மாத்திரம் ஏன் அமுலுக்கு கொண்டு வரக்கூடாது என்று கேட்கிறேன்."

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" Sir, the hon. Member should know that service is one and whole, and young men are taken in, and then they are promoted, and moved from place to place. One cannot limit the territory of appointment for these officers, and, therefore, certain rules have to be made so that proper officers may, from time to time, be appointed to suitable places."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Sir, have the Andhra Government come forward to reciprocate this compliment? "

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" One must begin before expecting reciprocation."

[15th December 1953]

Election to the Puzhathi Panchayat Board, Malabar.

* 42 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the Government did not introduce the secret ballot system in the recent election to the Puzhathi Panchayat Board in Chirakkal taluk, Malabar, even though there are more than 12,000 voters in the same Panchayat area and the income of the Board comes to about more than Rs. 10,000; and if so, the reasons for the same?

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ It is a fact that the election to the Puzhathi Panchayat was not held by the secret ballot system as it is only a Class II Panchayat, its income during 1952–53 and previous years being very much below Rs. 10,000. Elections to Class I Panchayats only are held by secret ballot.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ സർ, 12,000 വോട്ടർമാർ ഉള്ള ഒരു പഞ്ചായത്തു ബോർഡ് അതിർത്തിക്കുള്ളിൽ സീക്രട്ട് ബാലറ്റ് സമ്പ്രദായം നടപ്പാക്കാത്തതിന്റെ ഫലമായി തിരഞ്ഞെടുപ്പിൽ തന്നെ വമ്പിച്ച തകരാറുകളും കള്ള വോട്ടുകളും നടന്നിട്ടുണ്ടു എന്ന് ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട മന്ത്രി അറിയുമോ? ഇതു സംബന്ധിച്ചു വല്ല ആക്ഷേപങ്ങളും ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട മന്ത്രിയ്ക്കു കിട്ടിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ? ”.

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ Sir, I have already said, and it has been discussed once before on the floor of this House, that the policy of the Government is to have secret ballot for First-class Panchayats and open ballot (show of hands) for Second-class Panchayats. Therefore, this election is in accordance with the policy of the Government, which was announced on the floor of this House.”

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—“ May I know, Sir, whether the classification of Panchayats into Class I and Class II is made by the Government of their own accord or only when this is brought to their notice? ”

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“ No, Sir. This is made according to the census figures and the revenue figures, which are examined and submitted by the Inspector of Municipal Councils and Local Boards.”

Stocking of pumpsets at Taluk Headquarters.

* 43 Q.—SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state whether the Government have received any suggestion recently from Malabar District Food Production Committee to the effect that pumpsets owned by the department may be kept at the Taluk Headquarters so that they may be more easily and quickly available to cultivators in times of need; and if so, the action taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ The answer is in the affirmative. The District Agricultural Officers are being instructed to arrange to keep a few pumpsets at the Taluk Headquarters wherever feasible.”

15th December 1953]

DR. K. B. MENON :—" Sir, how many pumpsets are available for the whole of Malabar? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" I would like to have notice, Sir. But, I may give this information to the hon. Member. In Shoranur Division, there are three pumpsets in the Palghat taluk, three in Alatur, four in Perintalamanna, four in Manjeri, and four in Ponnani. In the other divisions also, at least one pumpset has been supplied to each of the taluk headquarters."

SRI K. M. SEETHI :—" Sir, may I know, whether the Government have received representations about the delay in supplying these pumpsets to agriculturists on their applications? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Notice, Sir."

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—" Sir, in a meeting of the District Food Production Committee, after this resolution had been passed, it was given to us that the proposal to keep the pumpset at taluk headquarters had been vetoed by the Government. May I know when that circular was issued? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" It is not correct to say that the Government have vetoed the proposal. As soon as the Food Production Committee passed the resolution, arrangements were made to locate pumpsets in various taluk headquarters."

Opening of a Munsif's Court at Perambra.

* 44 Q.—SRI P. KUNHIRAMA KIDAV : Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state whether the Hon. the Minister has received any memorandum from the public of Perambra and adjoining villages in Kurumbranad taluk for opening a Munsif's Court at Perambra; and if so, what action has been taken?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—" In April 1950, a memorandum was received from the public of Perambra requesting the opening of a District Munsif's Court at the place. The Government after consultation with the High Court, decided that the question of establishing a District Munsif's Court at Perambra might be deferred for the time being."

Action taken on the suggestions made by Members in the Assembly.

* 45 Q.—SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether the grievances mentioned by the Members of the House from time to time in respect of their constituencies are extracted from the Assembly debates and sent to the concerned authorities for redressal; and

(b) if not, why not?

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“(a) Not only Ministers, but the Departments of the Secretariat take due notice of general or specific suggestions made by the hon. Members. Extracts of the debates are sent to concerned authorities whenever considered desirable.

“(b) Does not arise.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“The Hon. Minister may give the answer to question 46 also.”

Action taken on the speeches made by members in the Assembly.

* 46 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the action if any taken by the Government on the speeches made by the Hon. Members of the Legislative Assembly on the floor of the Assembly so far regarding the defects, irregularities, etc., they point out to the Government?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“I invite attention to the answer given to Sri K. R. Viswanatham's question No. 45 on this subject.

Sir, the answer to question 45 applies to this question also.”

SRI E. L. RAGHAVA MUDALIAR :—“Sir, do the officials take notice of such debates, and take action on them?”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“It all depends upon the suggestions made. If they are worthwhile, certainly action is taken on them.”

DR. K. B. MENON :—“Sir, Members make representations to local officers in their constituencies. Will the Government instruct their officers to report to the Members concerned the progress made on their representations?”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“Sir, the question is not about general representations made to officers. This question refers to debates in this House, and whether the suggestions made by hon. Members are taken notice of, and any action is taken on them.”

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—“Will the Government, Sir, inform the Member concerned at least the action taken on important matters?”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“Unfortunately every Member considers his suggestion very important. Therefore, it will be very difficult to inform every Member in regard to every suggestion made by him.”

11-50
a.m.

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“Do the Government always receive replies to their circulars from the departmental officers and are there any officers who do not reply to the Government?”

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ This question refers to suggestions made on the floor of the House during debates. We take note of those suggestions and action is taken on them, if necessary.”

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“ Sir, my question is this. With reference to the circulars sent by the Government in respect of speeches made by hon. Members on the floor of this Assembly, may I know whether replies are always sent by the departmental officers or whether they simply keep quiet? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Whenever any action is necessary, certainly appropriate action is taken on those suggestions.”

Refusal to serve tea to certain Harijan constables.

* 47 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state whether the Government received any representation in connection with the refusal to serve tea to Harijan constables named K. Appadorai and Sigamani at a tea shop, Kilachur, Pallikonda of North Arcot district, and if so, the action taken by the Government under the Removal of Social Disabilities Act?

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ Yes. One of the two persons mentioned in the question is a constable and the other is a village menial. On enquiry it is reported that the proprietor of the hotel does not make any distinction between Harijans and Caste-Hindus and that on the particular day when the said persons went there the hotel keeper is reported to have asked them to wait a little as there was no milk and that he did not refuse to serve the Harijans.”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ சட்டத்தின் காவலாளிகளான போலீஸ்காரர்கள் தாழ்த்தப்பட்ட இனத்தைச் சேர்ந்தவர்கள் என்ற காரணத்திற்காக அவர்களை ஹோட்டலுக்குள்ளே அனுமதியாமலும் அவர்களுக்கு பதில் சொல்லாமலும், அவர்களுக்கு தேநீர் அளிக்காமலும், அவர்கள் கேட்டதற்கு அவர்களை துன்புறுத்தியும், பஞ்சமா, பறையா, நீச்சா என்று திட்டியும் அவர்களை அவமதித்திருக்கிறார்கள். அந்த போலீஸ் காரர்களும் அரசாங்கத்திற்கு மனுச் செய்து கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள். இதை அவசியமாகக் கருதி விசாரணைக்கு எடுத்து விசாரணை செய்திருக்கிறார்களா என்று கனம் அமைச்சரைக் கேட்கிறேன்.”

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“ I am afraid this has no bearing upon this particular question. If the hon. Member wants any information, he is requested to put a separate question.”

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“ Sir, I want to know how the question put by my hon. Friend Sri Ratnam has no bearing on the particular question which we are considering.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The Hon. Minister has not understood the question. It is alleged that the particular constable himself reported to the Government that he was not served tea. The hon. Member wants to know whether it is a fact.”

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" On enquiry, the complaint made was not established."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" இந்த விசாரணையை நடத்தியது யார் ? எப்படிப்பட்ட விசாரணை நடத்தப்பட்டது என்பதைப் பற்றிய தகவல்களை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் கொடுக்க முடியுமா ? "

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" The Government have received a report from the Collector of the district. Evidently, the Collector has made enquiries and sent his report on the matter."

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—" The Hon. Minister, in his reply, stated that one constable and one village menial were involved. Will the Hon. Minister kindly tell us what he means by village menial ? "

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" I means ' *vettian* ' or ' *thotti* ' in Tamil. I do not know what it means in Malayalam."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" தாழ்த்தப்பட்ட சமூகத்தைச் சேர்ந்தவர்கள் இம்மாதிரியாக துன்புறுத்தப்படுகிறார்கள். கலெக்டருடைய ரிபோர்ட்டை வைத்துக்கொண்டு முடிவு காண்பது பெரிய பயங்கரமாக இருக்கிறது. மற்ற வர்களெல்லாம் வந்திருந்தும் இது சம்பந்தமாக கலெக்டர் யார் யாரை விசாரித்தார்கள் என்பதைப் பற்றியெல்லாம் கலெக்டர் ரிபோர்ட்டிலிருந்து தெரியவருகிறதா ? அவர் பூர்ண விசாரணை நடத்தி இருக்கிறார் என்பதைப் பற்றி சர்க்கார் திருப்தி செய்து கொண்டதா ? அவர் ரிபோர்ட்டை அனுப்பி விட்டதால் சர்க்கார் திருப்தி அடைந்துவிட்டதா ? "

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" My answer was given only on the basis of the report received from the Collector. Evidence was taken and the evidence tendered was to the effect that the hotel-keeper did not make any distinction between Caste Hindus and Harijans."

SRI K. R. SAMBANDAM :—" இந்த மாதிரியான சம்பவங்கள் இப்பொழுது எல்லா நகரங்களிலும் சர்வ சாதாரணமாக நடந்துகொண்டு வருகின்றன. இதற்கு சர்க்கார் முற்று புள்ளி வைக்கவேண்டி இருக்கிறது. இதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் கவனிப்பாரா ? இந்த மாதிரி ஹோட்டல்களில் தேநீர் கொடுக்க மறுத்தால் ஹோட்டல்களின் லைசென்சை cancel செய்யவோ அல்லது அவர்களுக்கு கடுமையான தண்டனை கொடுப்பதற்கோ சர்க்கார் முடிவு செய்யுமா என்று கனம் அமைச்சரைக் கேட்கிறேன்."

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" There is already a provision in law for this purpose. If such an infringement takes place, due notice is taken of it and the offenders are liable for punishment. The Government are quite aware of their responsibilities in this regard."

SRI S. CHELLAPANDIAN :—" சம்பந்தப்பட்ட விஷயம் போலீஸ் காரர்களுடையதாக இருந்தும் கூட போலீஸ் ஸ்டேஷனில் இந்தக் கேஸ் ரிஜிஸ்டர் செய்யப்பட்டதா ? அவ்விதம் செய்யப்பட்டு விசாரணை நடந்ததா ? பிறகு கோர்ட்டுக்கு எடுத்துக்கொண்டு போய் விசாரணை நடந்ததா ? அப்படி இல்லாது இப்பொழுது அசெம்பளியில் இவ்வளவு பிரமாதமாக பேசுவதின் காரணம் என்ன ? இவைகளுக்கு கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் பதில் சொல்லுவாரா ? "

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—" The Government have no information in that regard."

15th December 1953]

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“ May I submit to the Hon. Minister that he cannot abolish untouchability unless stringent measures are taken whenever such cases are brought to the notice of the Government? ”

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 48 Q.—SRI M. S. MOGRAL: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received representations from the President of the Karnataka Pradesh Congress Committee, Members of the Legislative Assembly and Council belonging to South Kanara district, various public organizations and other individual leaders of public opinion, urging the immediate necessity of introducing suitable tenancy legislation in the district; and

(b) if so, what steps the Government have taken in the matter?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ (a) Representations have been received from

(1) Sri S. Narasappiah, M.L.C. ;

(2) The South Kanara Congress Committee;

(3) The Praja-Socialist Party of South Kanara.

“ (b) The matter is under very, very active consideration of the Government.”

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 49 Q.—SRI K. VENKATRAMANA GOWDA: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether representations have been received by Government from Members of Legislature and others in South Kanara regarding the urgent need to formulate tenancy legislation for South Kanara; and

(b) if so, what steps the Government propose to take in the matter?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ (a) &

(b) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer given to Legislative Assembly starred question No. 48 tabled by Sri M. S. Mogral, M.L.A.”

Tenancy legislation for the South Kanara district.

* 50 Q.—SRI M. NARAYANA NAMBIAR :—“ Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have received representations from South Kanara district to introduce suitable tenancy legislation for South Kanara; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken in the matter by the Government?

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“(a) & (b) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer given to Legislative Assembly starred question No. 48 tabled by Sri M. S. Mogral, M.L.A.”

SRI M. S. MOGRAL :—“How long will it take for the Government to consider this most important question?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“Having considered it most important, I said it was under very, very active consideration of the Government.”

SRI L. C. PAIS :—“Having regard to the fact that some little time may be taken for bringing in this necessary legislation, may I ask whether it will not be necessary for the Government immediately to bring in interim legislation to prevent the eviction of tenants?”

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“We are giving very immediate consideration to the matter.”

Salary of Public Service Commission candidates on reappointment.

* 51 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that an ousted Public Service Commission candidate when he is reappointed, has often to start on a salary lower than that which he may have drawn at the time of his being ousted; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to change this rule?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—“(a) Non-continuous officiating service does not count for increment in a time-scale under the Fundamental Rules and so it may happen that a person on reappointment draws less than what he was drawing when ousted.

“(b) There is no proposal to change the relevant rule. It is always, however, open to Government to condone any break in service so that officiating service prior to the break can be counted for increment in deserving cases.”

Suspension of certain Gazetted officers of the Forest department.

* 52 Q.—SRI M. S. MOGRAL : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) how many Gazetted officers of the Forest department were suspended on charges of corruption during the period 1952-53;

(b) how many of such officers were eventually dismissed from service; and

(c) how many of such officers were made to retire compulsorily?

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“(a) No gazetted officer in the Forest department was placed under suspension during the period 1952–53.

“(b) & (c) Do not arise.”

Cost of the revision of electoral rolls for 1952.

* 53 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the amount involved in preparing the annual revision of electoral rolls for the year 1952 in Madras State? 12 noon.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“The total expenditure incurred in connexion with the annual revision and printing of the electoral rolls for both the Assembly and Parliamentary constituencies and the Council constituencies in 1952 in the composite State of Madras is approximately Rs. 36,75,000. Out of this amount a sum of Rs. 35,65,000 relates to the Assembly and Parliamentary rolls while the balance of Rs. 1,10,000 relates to the Council rolls.

As the Government of India bear 50 per cent of the total expenditure incurred on the annual revision and printing of electoral rolls for the Assembly and Parliamentary constituencies, a sum of Rs. 17,83,000 roundly is recoverable from them for the 1952 revision. The expenditure on the revision and printing of the electoral rolls for the Council constituencies, viz., Rs. 1,10,000 referred to above is entirely borne by the State Government.”

Rural Welfare Scheme in the South Arcot district.

* 54 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state the amount spent under the Rural Welfare Scheme during 1952–53 in South Arcot district?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“Rs. 1,38,306.”

Admission to the Government College, Kumbakonam.

* 55 Q.—SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) the total number of candidates admitted this year to the Government College, Kumbakonam, in the I and III University Classes;

(b) the number of admitted candidates belonging to the Backward Classes, and Harijans in each of these classes;

(c) the number of candidates in each of these classes belonging to Backward Classes and admitted on merit and by reservation separately; and

(d) the number of candidates in each of these classes belonging to Scheduled Castes and admitted on merit and by reservation separately?

[15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“(a) to (d) A statement* containing the requisite particulars is placed on the table of the House.”

Insemination centres in the State.

* 56 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state—

(a) the various insemination centres in the residuary Madras State; and

(b) how many artificial inseminations have taken place since its introduction up to 31st August 1953?

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“(a) There are 15 Artificial Insemination Centres in the residuary Madras State as detailed below :—

Artificial Insemination Centres (General)—

- | | |
|--------------|--------------|
| 1 Madras | 3 Ootacamund |
| 2 Coimbatore | 4 Madurai. |

Artificial Insemination Centres (Key Village Scheme)—

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 Hosur Cattle Farm—Salem district. | |
| 2 Kangayam | } Coimbatore district. |
| 3 Vellakoil | |
| 4 Cinchona | |
| 5 Valparai | |

Artificial Insemination Centres in private institutions—

- | | |
|--|------------------------|
| 1 Kilacheri | } Chingleput district. |
| 2 Valarapuram | |
| 3 Idayakottai | } Madurai district. |
| 4 Neikarapatti | |
| 5 Kilamoongiladi—South Arcot district. | |
| 6 Palayakottai—Coimbatore district. | |

“(b) 24,133.”

Allegations against the President, Kundavelli Panchayat Board.

* 57 Q.—SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government received any complaints, dated the 19th November 1952, signed by the villagers of Kundavelli, Udayarpalayam taluk, against the President, Panchayat Board of that village, alleging serious irregularities and misappropriation against him; and

(b) the action taken by the Government thereon?

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“(a) Yes.

“(b) The Additional District Panchayat Officer, Tiruchirappalli, who enquired into the complaints, reported that they were the result of differences between the ex-President of the Kundavelli Panchayat and a set of people in the village that arose during the last general elections and that the complaints were baseless.”

Control of pests affecting crops in Malabar.

* 58 Q.—SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether the paddy crops anywhere in Malabar were affected by pests in August-September 1953; and

(b) if so, the extent of the damage and the measures adopted by the Government to control the pest?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—(a) The paddy crop was affected by pest outbreak due to ‘fulgarid’ bug in six villages in the Payyannur firka.

“(b) The pest attack was spread over an area of about 270 acres in the six villages. Dusting of Hexidole 810 was adopted by the local agricultural staff immediately the pest was noticed. This resulted in the satisfactory control of the pest.”

Hostels for Harijans in Gingee taluk.

* 59 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state the number of hostels for Harijans in Gingee taluk, South Arcot district?

THE HON. SRI V. C. PALANISWAMI GOUNDER :—“Nil.”

Raising the status of the Kasaragod Panchayat Board to that of a municipality.

* 60 Q.—SRI M. S. MOGRAL : Will the Hon. the Minister for Local Administration be pleased to state whether Kasaragod Panchayat Board satisfies all the requirements for a municipality; and if so, why the Government have not so far raised it to the status of a municipality?

THE HON. SRI K. RAJARAM :—“No. The latter part of the question does not arise.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“Questions over.”

[15th December 1953]

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

PARULEKAR COMMITTEE REPORT—ALLOTMENT OF A DAY
FOR DISCUSSION OF —

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“கனம் தலைவர் அவர்களே! நேற்றைய தினம் தான் புதிய ஆரம்பக் கல்வி திட்டத்தைப் பரிசீலனை செய்த கமிட்டியின் ரிப்போர்ட் எங்களுக்கு இங்கே கொடுக்கப்பட்டது. சாதாரணமாக வரவு செலவு திட்டத்தைப் பற்றி விவாதம் செய்யும்போதே இந்த கல்வி திட்டம் சம்பந்தமாகவும் விவாதம் செய்து விடலாமென்று சர்க்கார் சொல்லக்கூடும். இந்த ஆரம்பக் கல்வித் திட்டம் மிகவும் முக்கியமானதாகு திட்டமாகையால், இந்த ரிப்போர்ட்டின்மீது விவாதம் நடத்த தனியாக ஒரு தினம் இதற்காகவென்று ஒதுக்கவேண்டுமென்று நான் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன். சர்க்கார் இதற்குச் சம்மதிக்கவேண்டுமென்றும் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“நீங்கள் இதுமாதிரியாக கேட்டுக் கொள்வதை நான் வேண்டாமென்று சொல்லவில்லை. ஆனால் இனிமேல் என்னிடம் தனியாக ஒரு வார்த்தை சொல்லிவிட்டு பின்னர் சபையில் கேளுங்கள்.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“I shall consider the request made by the hon. Gentleman.”

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER :—“I have received a number of adjournment motions. Hon. Members Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan, Sri M. Narayana Kurup and Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni have each given notice of an adjournment motion to discuss the prevalence of cholera in certain places.

(1) (a) Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the utter callousness and negligence of the Government in dealing with the widespread cholera in Ponnani taluk, Malabar district, resulting in hundreds of deaths and further spread to other areas.’

(b) Sri M. Narayana Kurup's motion reads as follows :—

‘I move that the business of the Assembly stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the failure of the Government to take proper and adequate steps in dealing with cholera epidemic in the taluks of Ponnani and Walluvanaad in Malabar.’

(c) Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the prevalence of cholera in epidemic form in Attapady Hills in Walluvanaad, Malabar district, and the consequent death of about 100 people due to the inadequate steps taken by the Government to save the lives of the people.’

These are not matters of urgent public importance. Further, the hon. Members will have an opportunity to discuss this subject during the Budget debate. I therefore rule all the three motions out of order.

(2) Hon. Member Sri O. A. Nanjappa has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘I propose that the House do stand adjourned to discuss a matter of public importance, namely, the advocacy of E. V. Ramasamy, Leader of D.K. Party, that, his followers should carry knife with them in his speech at Attur in October last which has created panic among the public and it is viewed as dangerous to public safety.’

15th December 1953]

[Mr. Speaker]

“ This is not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule this motion out of order.

(3) Hon. Member Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni has given notice of another adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the acute communal tension in Pattambi, Walluvanaad taluk, Malabar district, created by the mishandling of the situation by the Government, though the Government was fully aware of the unsocial activities of the R.S.S. and the Muslim League volunteers for the last so many weeks.’

“ This has been in existence for a long time and is therefore not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule it out of order.

(4) Hon. Member Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the failure of the Government to take necessary steps to lease cultivable waste lands for fugitive cultivation to peasants willing to cultivate and which work has to begin now in the month of December being the agricultural season and as a consequence of which hundreds of fugitive cultivators have become unemployed affecting adversely the food position in North Malabar.’

“ I have told the hon. Member that this is not a matter of urgent public importance. Hence I rule it out of order.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, . . . ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ I have already ruled it out of order.”

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTIONS.

(1) ELECTION OF FIVE MEMBERS TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, I move—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

The motion was carried.

[15th December 1953]

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

PARULEKAR COMMITTEE REPORT—ALLOTMENT OF A DAY
FOR DISCUSSION OF —

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“கனம் தலைவர் அவர்களே! நேற்றைய தினம் தான் புதிய ஆரம்பக் கல்வி திட்டத்தைப் பரிசீலனை செய்த கமிட்டியின் ரிப்போர்ட் எங்களுக்கு இங்கே கொடுக்கப்பட்டது. சாதாரணமாக வரவு செலவு திட்டத்தைப் பற்றி விவாதம் செய்யும்போதே இந்த கல்வி திட்டம் சம்பந்தமாகவும் விவாதம் செய்து விடலாமென்று சர்க்கார் சொல்லக்கூடும். இந்த ஆரம்பக் கல்வித் திட்டம் மிகவும் முக்கியமானதாகு திட்டமாகையால், இந்த ரிப்போர்ட்டின்மீது விவாதம் நடத்த தனியாக ஒரு தினம் இதற்காகவென்று ஒதுக்கவேண்டுமென்று நான் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன். சர்க்கார் இதற்குச் சம்மதிக்கவேண்டுமென்றும் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“நீங்கள் இதுமாதிரியாக கேட்டுக் கொள்வதை நான் வேண்டாமென்று சொல்லவில்லை. ஆனால் இனிமேல் என்னிடம் தனியாக ஒரு வார்த்தை சொல்லிவிட்டு பின்னர் சபையில் கேளுங்கள்.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“I shall consider the request made by the hon. Gentleman.”

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER :—“I have received a number of adjournment motions. Hon. Members Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan, Sri M. Narayana Kurup and Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni have each given notice of an adjournment motion to discuss the prevalence of cholera in certain places.

(1) (a) Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the utter callousness and negligence of the Government in dealing with the widespread cholera in Ponnani taluk, Malabar district, resulting in hundreds of deaths and further spread to other areas.’

(b) Sri M. Narayana Kurup's motion reads as follows :—

‘I move that the business of the Assembly stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the failure of the Government to take proper and adequate steps in dealing with cholera epidemic in the taluks of Ponnani and Walluvanaad in Malabar.’

(c) Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the prevalence of cholera in epidemic form in Attapady Hills in Walluvanaad, Malabar district, and the consequent death of about 100 people due to the inadequate steps taken by the Government to save the lives of the people.’

These are not matters of urgent public importance. Further, the hon. Members will have an opportunity to discuss this subject during the Budget debate. I therefore rule all the three motions out of order.

(2) Hon. Member Sri O. A. Nanjappa has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘I propose that the House do stand adjourned to discuss a matter of public importance, namely, the advocacy of E. V. Ramasamy, Leader of D.K. Party, that, his followers should carry knife with them in his speech at Attur in October last which has created panic among the public and it is viewed as dangerous to public safety.’

15th December 1953]

[Mr. Speaker]

“ This is not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule this motion out of order.

(3) Hon. Member Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni has given notice of another adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the acute communal tension in Pattambi, Walluvanaad taluk, Malabar district, created by the mishandling of the situation by the Government, though the Government was fully aware of the unsocial activities of the R.S.S. and the Muslim League volunteers for the last so many weeks.’

“ This has been in existence for a long time and is therefore not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule it out of order.

(4) Hon. Member Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the failure of the Government to take necessary steps to lease cultivable waste lands for fugitive cultivation to peasants willing to cultivate and which work has to begin now in the month of December being the agricultural season and as a consequence of which hundreds of fugitive cultivators have become unemployed affecting adversely the food position in North Malabar.’

“ I have told the hon. Member that this is not a matter of urgent public importance. Hence I rule it out of order.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, . . . ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ I have already ruled it out of order.”

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTIONS.

(1) ELECTION OF FIVE MEMBERS TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, I move—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

The motion was carried.

[15th December 1953]

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

PARULEKAR COMMITTEE REPORT—ALLOTMENT OF A DAY
FOR DISCUSSION OF —

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“கனம் தலைவர் அவர்களே! நேற்றைய தினம் தான் புதிய ஆரம்பக் கல்வி திட்டத்தைப் பரிசீலனை செய்த கமிட்டியின் ரிப்போர்ட் எங்களுக்கு இங்கே கொடுக்கப்பட்டது. சாதாரணமாக வரவு செலவு திட்டத்தைப் பற்றி விவாதம் செய்யும்போதே இந்த கல்வி திட்டம் சம்பந்தமாகவும் விவாதம் செய்து விடலாமென்று சர்க்கார் சொல்லக்கூடும். இந்த ஆரம்பக் கல்வித் திட்டம் மிகவும் முக்கியமானதாகு திட்டமாகையால், இந்த ரிப்போர்ட்டின்மீது விவாதம் நடத்த தனியாக ஒரு தினம் இதற்காகவென்று ஒதுக்கவேண்டுமென்று நான் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன். சர்க்கார் இதற்குச் சம்மதிக்கவேண்டுமென்றும் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“நீங்கள் இதுமாதிரியாக கேட்டுக் கொள்வதை நான் வேண்டாமென்று சொல்லவில்லை. ஆனால் இனிமேல் என்னிடம் தனியாக ஒரு வார்த்தை சொல்லிவிட்டு பின்னர் சபையில் கேளுங்கள்.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“I shall consider the request made by the hon. Gentleman.”

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER :—“I have received a number of adjournment motions. Hon. Members Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan, Sri M. Narayana Kurup and Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni have each given notice of an adjournment motion to discuss the prevalence of cholera in certain places.

(1) (a) Sri P. K. Gopalakrishnan's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the utter callousness and negligence of the Government in dealing with the widespread cholera in Ponnani taluk, Malabar district, resulting in hundreds of deaths and further spread to other areas.’

(b) Sri M. Narayana Kurup's motion reads as follows :—

‘I move that the business of the Assembly stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the failure of the Government to take proper and adequate steps in dealing with cholera epidemic in the taluks of Ponnani and Walluvanaad in Malabar.’

(c) Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni's motion reads as follows :—

‘This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the prevalence of cholera in epidemic form in Attapady Hills in Walluvanaad, Malabar district, and the consequent death of about 100 people due to the inadequate steps taken by the Government to save the lives of the people.’

These are not matters of urgent public importance. Further, the hon. Members will have an opportunity to discuss this subject during the Budget debate. I therefore rule all the three motions out of order.

(2) Hon. Member Sri O. A. Nanjappa has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘I propose that the House do stand adjourned to discuss a matter of public importance, namely, the advocacy of E. V. Ramasamy, Leader of D.K. Party, that, his followers should carry knife with them in his speech at Attur in October last which has created panic among the public and it is viewed as dangerous to public safety.’

15th December 1953]

[Mr. Speaker]

“ This is not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule this motion out of order.

(3) Hon. Member Sri K. C. Gopalan Unni has given notice of another adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the acute communal tension in Pattambi, Walluvanaad taluk, Malabar district, created by the mishandling of the situation by the Government, though the Government was fully aware of the unsocial activities of the R.S.S. and the Muslim League volunteers for the last so many weeks.’

“ This has been in existence for a long time and is therefore not a matter of urgent public importance. So, I rule it out of order.

(4) Hon. Member Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar has given notice of an adjournment motion. It reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the failure of the Government to take necessary steps to lease cultivable waste lands for fugitive cultivation to peasants willing to cultivate and which work has to begin now in the month of December being the agricultural season and as a consequence of which hundreds of fugitive cultivators have become unemployed affecting adversely the food position in North Malabar.’

“ I have told the hon. Member that this is not a matter of urgent public importance. Hence I rule it out of order.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, . . . ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ I have already ruled it out of order.”

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTIONS.

(1) ELECTION OF FIVE MEMBERS TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, I move—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ That, with reference to sub-rule (3) of rule 156 of the Madras Assembly Rules, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect five members to be members of the Committee of Privileges for the year 1953–54, in the vacancies caused by the vacation of seats of Sri Koti Reddi, Sri K. V. Vema Reddi, Sri H. Satyanarayana Dora, Sri T. Nagi Reddi and Sri N. V. L. Narasimha Rao, consequent on the formation of the Andhra State with effect from 1st October 1953.’ ”

The motion was carried.

[15th December 1953]

12-10 p.m. MR. SPEAKER :—“ In accordance with the regulations framed by me for the holding of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote, I fix 3 p.m. on Monday, the 21st December 1953, as the time within which nomination papers of candidates for election to the Committee of Privileges should reach the Secretary. Nomination forms can be had on application to the Secretary.

“ If the number of candidates nominated exceeds the number of seats to be filled, namely, five, a poll will be taken on a date to be announced in due course.”

(2) ELECTION OF ONE MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF INDUSTRIES.

* THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Mr. Speaker, I beg leave to move—

‘ That, as Sri T. N. Venkatasubba Reddi has ceased to be a member of the Madras Legislative Assembly with effect from 1st October 1953 and therefore ceases to be a member on the Board of Industries with effect from 1st October 1953, in accordance with section 3 (1) (b) of the Madras State Aid to Industries Act, 1922 (Act V of 1923) and rule 3 of the rules framed thereunder, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect one person to be the Assembly's representative on the Board of Industries constituted under the Act. ’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ That, as Sri T. N. Venkatasubba Reddy has ceased to be a member of the Madras Legislative Assembly with effect from 1st October 1953 and therefore ceases to be a member on the Board of Industries with effect from 1st October 1953, in accordance with section 3 (1) (b) of the Madras State Aid to Industries Act, 1922 (Act V of 1923) and rule 3 of the rules framed thereunder, this Assembly do proceed on a date to be fixed by the Hon. the Speaker to elect one person to be the Assembly's representative on the Board of Industries constituted under the Act. ’ ”

The motion was carried.

MR. SPEAKER :—“ In accordance with the regulations framed by me for the holding of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of the single transferable vote, I fix 3 p.m. on Tuesday, the 22nd December 1953, as the time within which nomination papers of candidates for election of one member to the Board of Industries should reach the Secretary. Nomination forms can be had on application to the Secretary.

“ If the number of candidates nominated exceeds the number of seats to be filled, namely, one, a poll will be taken on a date to be announced and fixed by me.”

15th December 1953]

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1953.

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Madras Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill ^a, 1953 and move—

‘ That the Bill be taken into consideration at once.’

As hon. Members are aware, according to rule XV (I-A) and (7-B) of the rules issued under the Madras Co-operative Societies Act, 1932 (Madras Act VI of 1932), the provisions of the Indian Limitation Act, 1908, apply to debts due to co-operative societies and also to the recovery of such debts in execution. Prior to the 1st April 1949, the law of limitation did not apply to debts due to co-operative societies in the Pudukkottai territory. But, consequent on the application of the Madras Co-operative Societies Act and the rules made thereunder to that territory from the 1st April 1949, the provisions of the Indian Limitation Act became applicable to debts due to co-operative societies in Pudukkottai also. As a result over 500 loans advanced by co-operative societies in the Pudukkottai territory for about Rs. 40,000 in all have become time-barred by limitation. To remove this bar and make the loans recoverable, the Act has to be suitably amended. The Bill before the House carries out this object. It provides for the recovery of the loans already referred to by proceedings instituted in that behalf within a period of one year from the date on which the Bill comes into operation. Orders, decisions and awards passed before that date may also be re-opened, if applications are made in that behalf within six months therefrom. Sir, I feel that this House should agree to the Bill being taken into consideration.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The question is—

‘ That the Madras Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1953, be taken into consideration at once.’ ”

The motion was carried.

MR. SPEAKER :—“ I shall now take up the Bill clause by clause.”

Clause 2.

* SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, I move—

‘ In sub-section (1) of the new section 57-BB, for the words “ one year ”, substitute the words “ two years ”.

‘ In sub-section (2) of the new section 57-BB, for the words “ six months ”, substitute the words “ one year ”.’

“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, ഇതാണ് ഈ നിയമത്തിന് ഞാൻ കൊടുക്കുന്ന ഉപശ്ലേഷം. ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപശ്ലേഷം കൊടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള പ്രധാന കാരണം പാവപ്പെട്ട കൃഷിക്കാരെ അധികം പൊറുതിമുട്ടിക്കാൻ പാടില്ല എന്നുള്ളതുകൊണ്ടാണ്. നാല്പതിനായിരം ഉറപ്പിക പാവപ്പെട്ട കൃഷിക്കാർ ആയിരിക്കണം പരസ്പരസഹായസംഘം കടം

[Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar] [15th December 1953]

കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളത്. ഈ നല്ലതിനായിരും ഉറപ്പികളും ഇടകാഴ്ചകളിലൂടെ എനിക്കുള്ള ആശങ്കയും, ഇത്തരം കടക്കാരായ തൃക്കിഴക്കരിൽനിന്നും ഈ സംഖ്യ പിരിയുന്നതിനുള്ള അടയ്ക്കൽ കൂടുതൽ സമയം കൊടുക്കേണ്ടതാണ്. ഈ നിയമം പാസ്സാക്കി അദ്ദേഹം മാസത്തിനുള്ളിൽ ഹരജികൾ കൊടുത്താൽ അധികൃതന്മാർ ഒരു കൊല്ലത്തിനകം ഈ സംഖ്യ വസൂലാക്കുന്നതാണെന്നും ഈ നിയമം അനുശാസിക്കുന്നു. അങ്ങനെയൊന്നെങ്കിൽ അതു മേലനാജനകമായിരിക്കും. അതുകൊണ്ടാണ് എന്റെ ഉപയോക്താവിൽ ഒരു കൊല്ലത്തിനുള്ളിൽ ഹരജികൊടുത്താൽ മതിയെന്നും രണ്ടുകൊല്ലത്തിനുള്ളിൽ വസൂലാക്കുവാൻ മതിയെന്നും പറയുവാനുള്ള കാരണം. ഈ ഉപയോക്താവിനെപ്പറ്റിയിരിക്കുമെന്നാണ് എന്റെ വിശ്വാസം.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“நான் இப்பொழுது ஸ்ரீ டி. ஸி. நாராயணன் நம்பியார் அவர்கள் பிரேரித்திருக்கும் திருத்தத்தை ஆதரித்து ஒரு சில வார்த்தைகள் பேச ஆசைப்படுகிறேன். இந்த மசோதாவின் பிரகாரம் விவசாயிகள் கொடுக்கவேண்டிய பாக்கியை வருலிக்க கேஸ்கள் போட வேண்டாமென்றும், ஏற்கெனவே சில உத்தரவுகள் போட்டிருந்தாலும்கூட அதை இன்னும் 6 மாதத்திற்கு ஒத்திப்போட வேண்டுமென்றும் சொல்வதை ஒரு வருஷம் என்று சொல்லுகிறார். உங்களுக்கு எல்லாம் தெரியும். கூட்டுறவு சொசைட்டிகளில் கடன் வாங்கி இருப்பவர்கள் ஏழை விவசாயிகள் தான் என்று. அவர்கள் நிலைமை இன்னும் சரியாகாமல் இப்பொழுதும் கஷ்டப்பட்டுக் கொண்டே தான் இருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகவே வசூல் செய்வதை ஒரு வருஷம் ஒத்திப்போடவேண்டுமென்று சொல்வதை இன்னும் 2 வருடங்களுக்கு ஒத்திப்போடவேண்டுமென்று இந்த மசோதாவிற்கு திருத்தம் கொடுத்திருப்பதை சர்க்கார் ஒத்துக்கொள்ளலாம். இதை ஆட்சேபிப்பதற்கு எந்தக் காரணமும் கிடையாது. இதை ஒத்துக்கொள்ள வேண்டுமென்று கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ In sub-section (1) of the new section 57-BB, for the words “ one year ”, substitute the words “ two years ”.

‘ In sub-section (2) of the new section 57-BB, for the words “ six months ”, substitute the words “ one year ”.’ ”

SRI S. RAMASAMY NAIDU :—“ Sir, I have nothing to say on the amendment. I want to make a suggestion. We receive so many amending Bills and we do not know what the amendments are in relation to the original sections. It will be very much necessary that every Member is provided with the published Madras Acts so that when the amending Bills are sent to Members they may usefully follow the discussion here. At least for the sake of information, they may be sent to us free, because they do not cost much.”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The amendment of my friend, Sir, is really helping the creditor. I see that he is arguing for the debtor being helped, but actually his amendment will help the creditor. I have no objection to accept it.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“Mr. Speaker, Sir, ഞാൻ ഈ ഭേദഗതി കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളതിന്റെ ഉദ്ദേശം വ്യക്തമായി പറയുകയുണ്ടായി. ഒരു കൊല്ലത്തിലിടക്ക് പരസ്പര സഹായ സംഘത്തിന് കടക്കാരീതിനിന്ന ഈ സംഖ്യ വസ്തുലാക്കുന്നതിന് ഈ നിയമം അനുശ്രയിക്കുന്നു. വസ്തുലാക്കുന്നതിന് ഒരു കൊല്ലം പോലും

15th December 1953] [Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar]

ரஷ்ய கொலும் வேளம் அனாண் என்னை உபகேவனில் பரயுனா. னாடுகொண்டு வஸூலாகாண்டி ஸமயம் ஜாஸ்தி ஸூகனம் அனாண் என்னை உபகேவம். னாடுகொண்டு கொடுக்கிற ஸூகனம் ஸமயம் ஜாஸ்தி ஸூகனம் அனாண் என்னை உபகேவம். னாடுகொண்டு கொடுக்கிற ஸூகனம் ஸமயம் ஜாஸ்தி ஸூகனம் அனாண் என்னை உபகேவம்.

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ ஏற்கெனவே கடன்பட்டிருக்கும் விவசாயிகளை துன்பப் படுத்தவேண்டுமென்பது அந்தத் திருத்தத்தின் நோக்கம் அல்ல. இந்தத் திருத்தத்தை ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளாவிட்டாலும், சர்க்கார் ஒரு வருஷம் அவர்கள் மேல் கேஸ்கள் போடக்கூடாது என்று உத்தரவிட்டால் போதும். ”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, I am not pressing my amendment. ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Is the hon. Member withdrawing it? ”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Yes, Sir. ”

The amendment was by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Clauses 2 and 1 and the Preamble were one after the other separately put and carried.

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Sir, I move—

‘ That the Madras Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1953, be passed into law. ’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘ That the Madras Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1953, be passed into law. ’ ” 12-20 p.m.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, may I know what is the reaction of the Government for the suggestion thrown out by the hon. Member, Sri Ramaswami Naidu, in connexion with the circulation of the original Act for the information of the Members? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Sir, I did not reply to it because it did not really relate to the Bill or the merits of the Bill. A suggestion has been put forward and we shall do what is possible. ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The question is—

‘ That the Madras Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1953, be passed into law. ’ ”

The motion was carried and the Bill was passed into law.

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTIONS—cont.

(3) AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULE TO THE MADRAS MOTOR VEHICLES (TAXATION OF PASSENGERS AND GOODS) ACT, 1952.

* THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, I move—

‘ That the following draft of a rule proposed to be made by the Government of Madras under section 4 (2) of the Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Act, 1952 (Madras Act XVI of 1952), amending the schedule to the said Act, be approved. ’

[Dr. U. Krishna Rao] [15th December 1953]

DRAFT RULE.

In the said schedule, after sub-rule (2) of rule 1, the following sub-rule shall be added, namely:—

“(3) For the quarter ending with the 31st December 1953, the amount of the composition fee calculated with reference to clause (a) or clause (b) of sub-rule (1) in respect of stage carriages and public carrier vehicles, which until the 30th September 1953 were plying on routes which have become inter-State routes as a result of the formation of the Andhra State with effect from the 1st October 1953, shall be payable at the same rates at which and at the same places where it was being paid for the quarter ending 30th September 1953.”

“I shall explain very briefly what this amendment means. Under section 4 of the Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Act, 1952, an operator of a stage carriage or a public carrier vehicle may apply for permission to compound the tax leviable on him under the Act by paying in lieu thereof a fee fixed in the manner specified in the schedule. The schedule provides that the composition fee referred to under section 4 shall be calculated for the entire unexpired period of currency of the permit or for a period of three months whichever is less. Provision has also been made for the payment of the composition fee so calculated once a month or a quarter at the option of the operator. Consequent on the formation of the Andhra State certain routes lying partly in Madras State and partly in the Andhra State have become inter-State routes. The Government after careful consideration directed that as a purely working measure for the quarter ending 31st December 1953, the operators of transport vehicles in these routes shall be allowed to pay the tax under the Act at the existing rates for the composite State and at places where they were usually paid for the quarter ending 30th September 1953. And Government have also decided to reallocate the taxes so collected with reference to arrangements that may be arrived at hereafter between the residuary State and the Andhra State. It is a matter of convenience only we are allowing the operators to pay the taxes at the places they have been paying up till 30th September 1953 until the two Governments come to an understanding as to what should happen hereafter. It is only a temporary arrangement between the two Governments so that the operators may not be harassed in the interim period.”

MR. SPEAKER:—“Motion moved—

‘That the following draft of a rule proposed to be made by the Government of Madras under section 4 (2) of the Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Act, 1952 (Madras Act XVI of 1952), amending the schedule to the said Act, be approved.

15th December 1953] [Mr. Speaker]

DRAFT RULE.

In the said schedule, after sub-rule (2) of rule 1, the following sub-rule shall be added, namely:—

“(3) For the quarter ending with the 31st December 1953, the amount of the composition fee calculated with reference to clause (a) or clause (b) of sub-rule (1) in respect of stage carriages and public carrier vehicles, which until the 30th September 1953 were plying on routes which have become inter-State routes as a result of the formation of the Andhra State with effect from the 1st October 1953, shall be payable at the same rates at which and at the same places where it was being paid for the quarter ending 30th September 1953.”

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Sir, from the speech made by the Hon. Minister for Transport it appears that the taxes collected from the operators may be reallocated between the Madras State and the Andhra State. May we know, Sir, on what basis these taxes are to be reallocated? For instance, an operator operating in a route between Tiruttani and Madras covers only 12 miles in the Andhra territory and the remaining portion of the route about 42 miles lies in the Madras State. Will this reallocation of taxes be done according to the distance covered by the transport in the respective States? This is the clarification I want from the Hon. Minister.”

* THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Sir, the portion in which the taxes will be shared is a matter for negotiation. But what is now being done in inter-State routes like that of Madras and Travancore-Cochin and Madras and Mysore will also, I hope, be done in the case of Madras and Andhra, that is to say, the taxes will be shared in the proportion of the mileage covered in the two areas.”

The motion was put and carried.

V.—GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION.

AMENDMENTS TO NOTIFICATIONS UNDER THE COTTON TRANSPORT ACT, 1923.

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that—

‘ This Assembly recommends to the State Government that the following notifications submitted to it for approval under section 8 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), be issued in the form submitted :—

NOTIFICATION I.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendments to Development Department Notification No. 278, dated the

[Sri M. Bhaktavatsalan] [15th December 1953]

19th September 1928, published at pages 1455-1461 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 25th September 1928, as subsequently amended :—

AMENDMENTS.

In the said notification—

(i) in clause (3), the words “ into the Northern and Westerns area and ” shall be omitted;

(ii) in Schedule I—

(a) clause (1) shall be omitted;

(b) clauses (2) and (3) shall be renumbered as clauses (1) and (2) respectively;

(c) in clause (1) as so renumbered, the word “ Chittoor ” shall be omitted;

(iii) in Schedule II—

(a) clause (1) shall be omitted;

(b) clauses (2) and (3) shall be renumbered as clauses (1) and (2) respectively;

(c) in clause (1) as so renumbered—

(1) after the words “ Madukarai both inclusive ”, the words “ except Manur and Tiruvelangadu ” shall be inserted;

(2) for the word “ Mamunduru ”, the word “ Inchiputhur ” shall be substituted;

(3) the words “ from Renigunta to Akurti both inclusive, from Renigunta to Katpadi both inclusive, from Pakala to Mulacalacheruvu both inclusive ” shall be omitted;

(4) for the word “ Gudupalle ”, the word “ Patchur ” shall be substituted.

NOTIFICATION II.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendments to the rules published with the Food and Agriculture Department notification, dated the 7th December 1950, at pages 578-583 of the Rules Supplement to Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated the 26th December 1950 :—

AMENDMENTS.

In clause (a) of the “ Instructions ” under Form A in the annexure to the said rules—

(i) the words “ Northern and Westerns area ” occurring in the second sentence shall be omitted; and

15th December 1953] [Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

(ii) the words "Northerns and Westerns area and" occurring in the third sentence shall be omitted.'

"Sir, this motion seeks to amend certain notifications that have been issued under the Cotton Transport Act of 1923. The object of the Act is that the quality and reputation of the cotton grown in certain areas of this State should be ensured. With this object in view certain notifications were issued, when this State was a composite State, prohibiting, among other things, import of cotton into certain regions and also import of cotton into certain protected areas like the Cambodia area and Tinnevely area. After the separation of the Andhra State, some of these definitions have to be amended, particularly the protected areas and the Railway stations and certain areas like the 'northern' and 'eastern regions' have to be amended. The amendment before the House seeks to introduce these changes in the notification."

MR. SPEAKER :—"Motion moved—

'That this Assembly recommends to the State Government that the following notifications submitted to it for approval under section 8 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), be issued in the form submitted :—

NOTIFICATION I.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras, hereby makes the following amendments to Development Department Notification No. 278, dated the 19th September 1928, published at pages 1455-1461 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 25th September 1928, as subsequently amended :—

AMENDMENTS.

In the said notification—

(i) in clause (3), the words "into the Northerns and Westerns area and" shall be omitted;

(ii) in Schedule I—

(a) clause (1) shall be omitted;

(b) clauses (2) and (3) shall be renumbered as clauses (1) and (2) respectively;

(c) in clause (1) as so renumbered, the word "Chittoor" shall be omitted;

(iii) in Schedule II—

(a) clause (1) shall be omitted;

(b) clauses (2) and (3) shall be renumbered as clauses (1) and (2) respectively;

[Mr. Speaker] [15th December 1953]

(c) in clause (1) as so renumbered—

(1) after the words “ Madukarai both inclusive ”. the words “ except Manur and Tiruvelangadu ” shall be inserted;

(2) for the word “ Mamunduru ”, the word “ Ichiputhur ” shall be substituted;

(3) the words “ from Renigunta to Akurthi both inclusive, from Renigunta to Katpadi both inclusive, from Pakala to Mulacalacheruvu both inclusive ” shall be omitted;

(4) for the word “ Gudupalle ”, the word “ Patchur ” shall be substituted.

NOTIFICATION II.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendments to the rules published with the Food and Agriculture Department notification, dated the 7th December 1950, at pages 575–583 of the Rules Supplement to Part I of the *For St. George Gazette*, dated the 26th December 1950 :—

AMENDMENTS.

In clause (a) of the “ Instructions ” under Form A in the annexure to the said rules—

(i) the words “ Northern and Western area ” occurring in the second sentence shall be omitted; and

(ii) the words “ Northern and Western area and ” occurring in the third sentence shall be omitted.” ” ”

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Mr. Speaker, Sir, once again we have the same difficulty as mentioned in the previous motion. For instance we are not aware of what exactly are the provisions of the original Act which is sought to be amended. I understand the spirit of the amendment. The Hon. Minister in charge wants to bring in the amendments so as to limit the operation of the Act to Madras State alone and some of the areas mentioned like Ichiputhur and Tiruvelangadu fall in the border areas of the State. But I ask the Hon. Minister, would it not be advisable for the Ministry to circulate the Cotton Transport Act itself for the information of hon. Members so that we may know what exactly the provisions are that are to be amended and appreciate the spirit of the amendment which the Hon. Minister has brought before the House? ”

12-30
p.m.

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Sir, there is nothing in the Cotton Transport Act which could provide any new light to the hon. Member. This amendment only relates to certain notifications; certain areas, certain railway stations and

15th December 1953] [Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

certain places where prohibition of transport of certain varieties of cotton is to be imposed are to be altered. After the separation of the Andhra State, it is necessary to change the names of these places, borders, railway stations, etc., and that is what the amendment seeks to do. I do not think that there could be any difficulty for hon. Members in according their support to the motion."

The resolution was put and carried.

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I move—

' This Assembly recommends to the State Government that the following notification submitted to it for approval under section 8 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), be issued in the form submitted :—

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendments to Development Department Notification No. 278, dated the 19th September 1928, published at pages 1455-1461 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated the 25th September 1928, as subsequently amended :—

AMENDMENTS.

In the said notification—

(1) *in Schedule I, for clause (3), the following clause shall be substituted, namely :—*

" (3) The Tirunelveli area consisting of the Tirunelveli district and portions of the districts of Madurai and Ramanathapuram lying to the south of the bridge path from Kothagudi to Bodinayakanur, thence to the south of the road connecting Bodinayakanur and Theni, via Kodangipatti, thence to the east of the road connecting Theni and Periakulam, thence to the south of the road connecting Periakulam and Ammainaickanur touching Devadanapatti, Battalagundu, Nilakottai and Silukkuvarapatti, thence to the west of the road connecting Ammainaickanur and Thallakulam via Andipatti and Madurai, thence to the south of the road from Thallakulam to Melur via Tamaraipatti, thence to the west of the road from Melur to Partipanur via Sivaqanga and Manamadurai, thence to the south and west of the road from Partipanur to Ramanathapuram via Paramakudi and thence to the east of the road from Ramanathapuram to Devipatnam; "

(2) *in clause 2 of Schedule II,*

(i) *for the words " from Mayavaram to Aranthangi both inclusive " the words " from Mayuram to Karaikudi both inclusive " shall be substituted.*

[Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam] [15th December 1953]

- (ii) for the words " from Tiruchirappalli to Vadipatti both inclusive " the words " from Tiruchirappalli to Ambathurai both inclusive " shall be substituted;
- (iii) for the words " from Tiruchirappalli to Sivaganga both inclusive " the words " from Tiruchirappalli to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive, except Nedungulam, Parayankulam, Manamadurai Junction and Partipanur " shall be substituted;
- (3) in clause 3 of Schedule II,
- (i) for the words " from Nedungulam to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive " the words " from Madurai Junction to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive, except Manamadurai and Partipanur " shall be substituted;
- (ii) for the words " from Karupatti to Madurai Junction both inclusive " the words " from Vadipatti to Madurai Junction both inclusive, except Samayanallur, Villangudi and Madurai Bridge " shall be substituted;
- (iii) the words " from Madurai Junction to Manamadurai both inclusive " shall be omitted."

'Sir, the object of this amendment is to introduce certain changes in the border lines that separate Cambodia area from the Tirunelveli area. Under the present arrangements, it is not possible to effectively check the illicit transport of cotton that is prohibited to be transported. Therefore, this amendment seeks to change the border line so that any illicit transport could be effectively checked."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Motion moved—

'This Assembly recommends to the State Government that the following notification submitted to it for approval under section 8 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), be issued in the form submitted :—

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Cotton Transport Act, 1923 (Central Act III of 1923), the Governor of Madras hereby makes the following amendments to Development Department Notification No. 278, dated the 19th September 1928, published at pages 1455-1461 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 25th September 1928, as subsequently amended :—

AMENDMENTS.

In the said notification—

(1) in Schedule I, cor clause (3), the following clause shall be substituted, namely :—

" (3) The Tirunelveli area consisting of the Tirunelveli district and portions of the districts of Madurai and Ramanathapuram lying to the south of the bridle path from Kothagudi to

15th December 1953] [Mr. Speaker]

Bodinayakanur, thence to the south of the road connecting Bodinayakanur and Theni via Kodangipatti, thence to the east of the road connecting Theni and Periakulam, thence to the south of the road connecting Periakulam and Ammainaickanur touching Devadanapatti, Battalagundu, Nilakottai and Silukkuvarapathi, thence to the west of the road connecting Ammainaickanur and Thallakulam via Andipatti and Madurai, thence to the south of the road from Thallakulam to Melur via Tamaraipatti, thence to the west of the road from Melur to Partipanur via Sivaganga and Manamadurai, thence to the south and west of the road from Partipanur to Ramanathapuram via Paramakudi and thence to the east of the road from Ramanathapuram to Devipatnam;

(2) in clause 2 of Schedule II,

(i) for the words "from Mayavaram to Aranthangi both inclusive", the words "from Mayuram to Karaikudi both inclusive" shall be substituted;

(ii) for the words "from Tiruchirappalli to Vadipatti both inclusive", the words "from Tiruchirappalli to Ambathurai both inclusive" shall be substituted;

(iii) for the words "from Tiruchirappalli to Sivaganga both inclusive", the words "from Tiruchirappalli to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive, except Nedungulam, Parayankulam, Manamadurai Junction and Partipanur" shall be substituted;

(3) in clause 3 of Schedule II,

(i) for the words "from Nedungulam to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive", the words "from Madurai Junction to Dhanushkodi Pier both inclusive, except Manamadurai and Partipanur" shall be substituted;

(ii) for the words "from Karupatti to Madurai Junction both inclusive", the words "from Vadipatti to Madurai Junction both inclusive, except Samayanallur, Villangudi and Madurai Bridge" shall be substituted;

(iii) the words "from Madurai Junction to Manamadurai both inclusive" shall be omitted.

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have heard the Hon. Minister for Agriculture with due patience. Still, I am not convinced as to the urgent necessity for pushing through this amendment at this stage. So far as changes of places in the southern portion of our State are concerned, I have no quarrel with the Government. But so far as the northern portion of our State is concerned, the Government are aware that there are still some disputed areas which we have got to claim from the Andhra State and the Central Government are now seriously thinking about

[Sri K. Vinayakam] [15th December 1953]

appointing a Boundary Commission to go into the question of such disputed areas. I may assure this House—coming as I do from such an area and I have some experience about it—that at least four or five taluks in the Chittoor district are to be included in our State. Such being the case, I feel that the Government will have, once again, to come before the House with another amendment to change the names abutting the borders of our State. Would it not be advisable that the Government should wait patiently for some more time till the decisions of the Boundary Commission are known and thus the Government will save plenty of our time as well as the finances of our State and not waste the time of this House. After the verdict of the Boundary Commission, they can come forward with a final and consolidated amendment. I request the Hon. Minister to throw more light on the matter and enlighten me as to the urgency of an amendment of this kind. If there is no urgency in this matter, I request the Government to withdraw the amendment at this stage and wait for some more time.”

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ Sir, I am sorry the hon. Member is entirely mistaken in thinking that this amendment has anything to do with the border disputes in Chittoor or other districts. Perhaps, the previous amendment which was carried in the House, a short while ago, had something to do with such questions. (Laughter.) Here, the position is, there are two separate areas, the Cambodia area and the Tirunelveli area. They are separated by border lines. They are now separated by Kothagudi and the Vaigai river. Now, complaints have been received from time to time about the illegal and unauthorized transport of cotton from the Cambodia area to the Tirunelveli area, as there are no police outposts or stations to effectively check the illegal transport of cotton from one area to another. Therefore, this boundary line is changed and certain other areas are included. I have consulted the hon. Member, Mr. Ramaswami Naidu, who hails from that area. He has absolutely no objection to this amendment and, therefore, I have no doubt that the hon. Member from Chingleput could very safely accept this amendment.”

The resolution was put and carried.

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTIONS—cont.

(4) REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE—DISCUSSION RE TAKING UP CONSIDERATION OF—

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—“ பப்ளிக் அகௌண்ட்ஸ் கமிட்டி ரிபோர்ட்டை விவாதத்திற்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ளப்போவதாக இன்றைய நிகழ்ச்சி நிரலில் கண்டிருக்கிறது. இந்த ரிபோர்ட் நேற்றையதினம் தான் அங்கத்தினர்களுக்குக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதினால், அதைச் சரிவர படிப்பதற்கு போதிய அவகாசம் இல்லை. ஆகவே, இதை வேறொரு நாளைக்கு விவாதத்திற்கு எடுத்துக்கொள்ளவேண்டுமென்று சபைத் தலைவர் அவர்களைக் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகின்றேன்.”

15th December 1953]

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Sir, I agree but there has been sufficient time at the disposal of hon. Members to go through the Report because today there is no other business to do and I thought, therefore, that it would have been easy to have discussion today. But in view of the request of the hon. Leader of the Opposition and whose request I am always unable to resist, I agree to his suggestion.”

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE HON. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER :—“ I wish to make an announcement to the House. All notices of cut motions should be sent to the Assembly office before 5 p.m. on Thursday, 17th December 1953.

“ Now, I adjourn the House till 11 a.m. on Thursday, 17th December 1953.”

The House then adjourned.

VI.—PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

^a (1) *The Madras Indebted Agriculturists (Temporary Relief) Ordinance, 1953 (Madras Ordinance V of 1953).*

^a (2) *The Mangalore Port Trust Act, 1953.*

வாய்மையே வெல்லும்
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

APPENDIX.

Vide answer to starred question No. 55 asked by Sri K. R. Viswanathan at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 15th December 1953, page 94 supra.]

(a) Total number of candidates admitted in 1953-54 to the Government Arts College, Kumbakonam, in the I and III Classes.

Class and group.	Total authorized strength per group.	Number of candidates admitted.	Remarks.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
I Class—			
Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry	144	144	
Physics, Chemistry and Natural Science	48	48	
Mathematics, Logic and Psychology	48	48	
Indian History, Modern History and Logic	120	120	
Total strength for I Class ..	360	360	
III Class—			
B.Sc. Mathematics Main	24	13 *	* Demand existed only for this number. Shortage is due to lack of applicants for this group.
B.Sc. Physics Main	24	24	
B.Sc. Chemistry Main	24	24	
B.A. (i-b) Mathematics	24	24	No unselected applicant for the III B.A. and B.Sc. Classes remains.
B.A. (iv-b) Economics and (v-b) Tamil	72	72	
Total for III B.A. and B.Sc. Classes ..	168	157	

[15th December 1953]

(b) The number of candidates admitted belonging to Backward Classes and Harijans in the I and III Classes.

<i>Class and group.</i>	<i>Authorized strength.</i>	<i>Total number of available seats after deducting detained students of last year admitted.</i>	<i>Total number of Backward Class students admitted.</i>	<i>Total number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes students admitted.</i>	<i>Remarks.</i>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I Class—					
M.P.C.	144	144	50	1	* Only 15 applied for the I Class and all were admitted. The vacancies which existed in the 15 per cent reservation were transferred to the general pool.
P.C.N.	48	48	18	7	
M.L.P.	48	48	15	Nil.	
I.M.L.	120	111	52	7	
	—	—	—	—	
Total for I Class ..	360	351	135	15 *	
	—	—	—	—	
III Class—					
B.Sc. Mathematics ..	24	24	2	Nil.	† Only 49 Backward Class candidates were available for admission.
B.Sc. Physics	24	24	9	Nil.	
B.Sc. Chemistry .. .	24	22	6	Nil.	
B.A. Mathematics .. .	24	23	5	Nil.	‡ No Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes student was available for admission in the III B.A. and B.Sc. Classes.
B.A. Economics and Tamil.	72	69	27	Nil.	
	—	—	—	—	
Total for III Class ..	168	162	49 †	Nil ‡	
	—	—	—	—	

(c) Number of Backward Classes candidates in the I and III Classes admitted on merit and reservation respectively.

<i>Class and group.</i>	<i>Authorized strength.</i>	<i>Total number of available seats after deducting detained students of last year admitted.</i>	<i>Number of Backward Classes students admitted on merit.</i>	<i>Number of Backward Classes students admitted on the reservation of 25 per cent of the authorized strength.</i>	<i>Total.</i>	<i>Remarks.</i>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
I Class—						
M.P.C.	144	144	14	36 (25 per cent of 144)	50	
P.C.N.	48	48	6	12 (do. 48)	18	
M.L.P.	48	48	3	12 (do. 48)	15	
I.M.L.	120	111	22	30 (do. 120)	52	
Total for I Class ..	360	351	45	90 (25 per cent of 360)	135	
III Class—						
B.Sc. Mathematics ..	24	24	2	Nil.	2 *	* The figures in the last column denote the entire Backward Classes candidates available for admission in each group.
B.Sc. Physics ..	24	24	5	4	9 *	
B.Sc. Chemistry ..	24	22	4	2	6 *	
B.A. Mathematics ..	24	23	3	2	5 *	
B.A. Economics and Tamil.	72	69	10	17	27 *	
Total for III Class ..	168	162	24	25	49 *	

[15th December 1953]

(d) Number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes candidates in the I and III Classes admitted on merit and reservation respectively.

Class and group.	Authorized strength.	Total number of available seats after deducting detained students of last year admitted.	Number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes students admitted on merit.	Number of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes candidates admitted on the reservation of 15 per cent of the authorized strength.	Total.	Remarks.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
I Class—						
M.P.C.	144	144	1	Nil.	1	Figures in the previous column denote the entire number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes candidates available for admission.
P.C.N.	48	48	Nil.	7	7	
M.L.P.	48	48	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
I.M.L.	120	111	Nil.	7	7	
Total for I Class ..	360	351	1	14	15	
III Class—						
B.Sc. Mathematics ..	24	24	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	No Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes candidate was available for admission into III B.A. and B.Sc. Classes.
B.Sc. Physics ..	24	24	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
B.Sc. Chemistry ..	24	22	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
B.A. Mathematics ..	24	23	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
B.A. Economics and Tamil.	72	69	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	
Total for III Class ..	168	162	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	

NOTE—

M.P.C.—Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry.

P.C.N.—Physics, Chemistry, Natural Science.

M.L.P.—Mathematics, Logic, Psychology.

I.M.L.—Indian History, Modern History, Logic.



(2)

141

42

30

100

580

—

24

23

13

24

12

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10